

Volume 4, Number 8



**STATESTAT  
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY  
AND CORRECTIONAL SERVICES  
(DPSCS)  
SESSION II - MBE, DPP, DPDS, HR, ITCD**



**Secretary Gary D. Maynard**

**Assistant Secretary for Capital Programs: Assistant Secretary David N. Bezanson**

MBE\_Summary

**Division of Pretrial Detention and Services: Commissioner Howard Ray Jr.**

DPDS\_Staffing\_Summary

SickLeave\_OTDetail

IWIF

Safety\_Summary

**Division of Parole and Probation: Director Patrick McGee**

DPP\_Definitions

VPI Probation Warrants

DPP\_Summary

Non-VPI Probation Warrants

DPP\_Personnel

Cumulative Parole Warrants

DPP\_Intel

VPI Parole Warrants

VPI\_Reports

Non VPI Parole Warrants

VPI\_Contacts

Cumulative Mandatory Warrants

Containment\_Arrests

VPI Mandatory Warrants

MD\_OffendersArrested\_DC

Non VPI Mandatory Warrants

MD\_OffendersArrested\_VA

**Information Technology & Communication Division: Chief Information Officer - Ronald C. Brothers**

ArrestOffenses\_DNA

**Criminal Injuries Compensation Board: Executive Director - Robin Woolford**

CICB



**Department of Public Safety & Correctional Services**  
**StateStat**

Reporting Period: August 2009

Management Team

Administration: G. Lawrence Franklin  
 Capital Programs: David N. Bezanson  
 Chief of Staff: Thomasina Hiers

**Gary D. Maynard, Secretary**  
 Appointed January 2007

**MBE CONTRACT ACTIVITY**

Aug-09

Goal Achieved: **19.95%**

# Waivers: **0**

Goal Achieved: **18.20%**

# Waivers: **0**

PROCUREMENT/CONTRACT AWARDS	CURRENTLY AVAILABLE PERIOD (August 2009)						YEAR-TO-DATE					
	Agency Total	Ethnic	Women	Disabled / Non-Profit / Sheltered Workshop	Total MBE/WBE	%	Agency Total	Ethnic	Women	Disabled / Non-Profit / Sheltered Workshop	Total MBE/WBE	%
<b>Payment Totals</b>	\$29,163,154.24	\$1,714,344.16 5.88%	\$5,686,931.70 19.50%	\$284,036.09 0.97%	\$7,685,311.95	26.35%	\$50,677,851.09	\$3,408,610.17 7%	\$7,441,536.47 15%	\$586,778.53 1%	\$11,436,925.17	22.57%
<b>Awards Totals</b>	\$3,247,501.36	\$318,055.00 9.79%	\$286,045.76 8.81%	\$43,648.99 1.34%	\$647,749.75	19.95%	\$81,955,375.73	\$14,006,185.00 17.09%	\$788,764.77 0.96%	\$117,243.64 0.14%	\$14,912,193.41	18.20%
Architectural and Engineering	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$800.00	\$800.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$800.00	100.00%
Construction	\$133,900.00	\$0.00	\$133,900.00	\$0.00	\$133,900.00	100.00%	\$133,900.00	\$0.00	\$133,900.00	\$0.00	\$133,900.00	100.00%
Construction Related	\$248,200.00	\$248,200.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$248,200.00	100.00%	\$261,370.00	\$248,200.00	\$13,170.00	\$0.00	\$261,370.00	100.00%
Maintenance	\$209,992.00	\$37,826.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$37,826.00	18.01%	\$701,678.00	\$37,826.00	\$9,185.00	\$0.00	\$47,011.00	6.70%
Services	\$302,406.58	\$15,689.00	\$6,004.00	\$25,046.00	\$46,739.00	15.46%	\$73,926,483.78	\$13,687,074.00	\$87,911.00	\$67,582.00	\$13,842,567.00	18.72%
Supplies and Equipment	\$393,070.00	\$3,168.00	\$38,628.00	\$0.00	\$41,796.00	10.63%	\$1,992,659.00	\$5,242.00	\$157,183.00	\$3,825.00	\$166,250.00	8.34%
IT Services	\$98,713.00	\$0.00	\$15,000.00	\$0.00	\$15,000.00	15.20%	\$1,718,049.00	\$0.00	\$15,000.00	\$0.00	\$15,000.00	0.87%
IT Supplies and Equipment	\$740,113.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	\$968,891.00	\$0.00	\$160,885.00	\$0.00	\$160,885.00	16.61%
Human, Cultural, Social & Educational	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Corporate Credit Card	\$1,033,369.00	\$7,710.00	\$73,400.00	\$18,409.00	\$99,519.00	9.63%	\$2,025,228.00	\$21,119.00	\$141,980.00	\$42,550.00	\$205,649.00	10.15%
Direct Vouchers	\$87,737.78	\$5,462.00	\$19,113.76	\$193.99	\$24,769.75	28.23%	\$226,316.95	\$5,924.00	\$69,550.77	\$3,286.64	\$78,761.41	34.80%

SBR INDICATORS	Agency Total	Total SBR	# Designated Procurements	%	Agency Total	Total SBR	# Designated Procurements	%
<b>Payment Totals</b>	\$31,074,998	\$933,337	25	3.00%	\$50,753,292	\$1,693,043	62	3.34%



STATESTAT  
 DPSCS: SUMMARY - DPDS  
 REPORTING PERIOD: AUGUST 2009

SUMMARY STAFFING AND OFFENDER POPULATION INFORMATION - DPDS

Department-wide	Monthly Reporting Periods				YTD		Yearly Reporting Periods			
Hiring Statistics Department-wide	May-09	Jun-09	Jul-09	Aug-09	CY YTD AVG	CY TOTAL	CY2005	CY2006	CY2007	CY2008
CO-I Interviewed	365	307	280	276	358	2,865	2,568	3,807	3,577	4,118
CO-I Tested	638	737	700	613	701	5,607	3,036	5,757	5,297	7,277

  

BCDC	Monthly Reporting Periods				FY YTD		Yearly Reporting Periods			
BCDC Staffing and Population	May-09	Jun-09	Jul-09	Aug-09	Average	% Change	FY2006	FY2007	FY2008	FY2009
Authorized PINs CO I-II-III	682	682	682	682	682	0.0%	670	687	686	686
Vacant PINs CO I-II-III	10	10	6	2	4	-66.7%	30	35	23	18
Total Inmates	2,672	2,719	2,735	2,715	2,725	-0.7%			2,867	2,691

  

Hiring Statistics	Monthly Reporting Periods				YTD		Yearly Reporting Periods			
CO-I Hired	May-09	Jun-09	Jul-09	Aug-09	CY YTD AVG	CY TOTAL	CY2005	CY2006	CY2007	CY2008
CO-I Hired	9	6	9	6	7	53	120	145	142	106
Attrition	6	6	3	2	3	5	99	139	92	68

  

Staff Terminated	Monthly Reporting Periods				YTD		Yearly Reporting Periods			
CO-I	May-09	Jun-09	Jul-09	Aug-09	CY YTD AVG	CY TOTAL	CY2005	CY2006	CY2007	CY2008
CO-I	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CO-II	1	1	0	0	1	5	2	1	6	7
CO-III	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	1
CO-IV, CO-V & CO-VI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Total	1	1	0	0	0	6	3	2	8	9

  

Staffing Needs	Monthly Reporting Periods				FY YTD		Yearly Reporting Periods			
New, never-filled CO PINs	May-09	Jun-09	Jul-09	Aug-09	FY YTD AVG	% Change	FY2006	FY2007	FY2008	FY2009
New, never-filled CO PINs	0	0	0	0	0		n/a	11	0	0
Total CO I-II-III Vacancies	10	10	6	2	4		30	35	23	18
Total Vacancies-All Classifications	14	15	9	5	7		65	62	48	29
Total PINs-All Classifications	858	858	858	858	858		864	878	873	867

  

CBIF	Monthly Reporting Periods				FY YTD		Yearly Reporting Periods			
CBIF Staffing and Population	May-09	Jun-09	Jul-09	Aug-09	Average	% Change	FY2006	FY2007	FY2008	FY2009
Authorized PINs CO I-II-III	408	408	408	407	408	-0.2%	368	399	411	411
Vacant PINs CO I-II-III	5	7	6	3	5	-50.0%	19	26	13	11
Total Inmates	931	927	916	945	931	3.2%			929	943

  

Hiring Statistics	Monthly Reporting Periods				YTD		Yearly Reporting Periods			
CO-I Hired	May-09	Jun-09	Jul-09	Aug-09	CY YTD AVG	CY TOTAL	CY2005	CY2006	CY2007	CY2008
CO-I Hired	8	3	5	5	4	31	92	62	88	56
Attrition	3	3	3	3	3	6	61	43	44	39

  

Staff Terminated	Monthly Reporting Periods				YTD		Yearly Reporting Periods			
CO-I	May-09	Jun-09	Jul-09	Aug-09	CY YTD AVG	CY TOTAL	CY2005	CY2006	CY2007	CY2008
CO-I	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
CO-II	1	1	0	0	1	4	7	1	1	5
CO-III	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CO-IV, CO-V & CO-VI	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Total	2	1	0	0	0	5	8	1	1	6

  

Staffing Needs	Monthly Reporting Periods				FY YTD		Yearly Reporting Periods			
New, never-filled CO PINs	May-09	Jun-09	Jul-09	Aug-09	FY YTD AVG	% Change	FY2006	FY2007	FY2008	FY2009
New, never-filled CO PINs	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0
Total CO I-II-III Vacancies	5	7	6	3	5		19		13	11
Total Vacancies-All Classifications	9	11	12	8	10		35		33	27
Total PINs-All Classifications	561	561	561	555	558		544		581	574



STATESTAT  
 DPSCS: DPDS - SICK LEAVE and OT DETAIL  
 REPORTING PERIOD: SEPTEMBER 2009

BCDC SICK LEAVE	Bi-Weekly Reporting Periods - Pay				3rd & 4th Period		Yearly Reporting Periods	
	Period Ending Date				% Chg	CY Periods	CY07	CY08
	08/11/09	08/25/09	09/08/09	09/22/09				
Hours	3,428	3,675	3,590	3,499	-3%	19		85,269

CBIF SICK LEAVE	Bi-Weekly Reporting Periods - Pay				3rd & 4th Period		Yearly Reporting Periods	
	Period Ending Date				% Chg	CY Periods	CY07	CY08
	08/11/09	08/25/09	09/08/09	09/22/09				
Hours	1,953	1,932	1,705	1,719	1%	19		51,567

BCDC										
TOTAL OVERTIME	Bi-Weekly Reporting Periods - Pay				YTD FY10		Yearly Reporting Periods			
	Period Ending Date				YTD AVG	TOTAL	FY07 Total	FY08 Total	FY09 Total	FY10 Projected
	08/11/09	08/25/09	09/08/09	09/22/09						
OT (Dollars)	\$190,393	\$188,139	\$180,484	\$166,969	\$185,195	\$1,153,214	\$7,789,874	\$6,418,825	\$4,860,993	\$4,736,502
OT (Staff Days)	750	738	709	657	711	4,978	32,825	25,925	19,853	
Secondary Medical (Staff Days)	278	268	204	287	219	1,531			5,442	
SAP (Staff Days)	929	911	835	846	853	5,972			24,340	

CBIF										
TOTAL OVERTIME	Bi-Weekly Reporting Periods - Pay				YTD FY10		Yearly Reporting Periods			
	Period Ending Date				YTD AVG	TOTAL	FY07 Total	FY08 Total	FY09 Total	FY10 Projected
	08/11/09	08/25/09	09/08/09	09/22/09						
OT (Dollars)	\$94,043	\$75,437	\$78,258	\$92,622	\$101,423	\$601,308	\$2,148,140	\$1,481,233	\$3,052,039	\$2,249,244
OT (Staff Days)	374	299	311	363	392	2,742	9,208	5,927	12,461	
Secondary Medical (Staff Days)	192	114	166	242	197	1,376			3,744	
SAP (Staff Days)	472	364	391	498	481	3,364			14,471	



**STATESTAT**  
**DPCS: SUMMARY - IWIF**  
**REPORTING PERIOD: AUGUST 2009**

	Monthly Reporting				Yearly Reporting					
	May-09	Jun-09	Jul-09	Aug-09	FYTD Total	FY2007	FY2008	FY2009	FYTD MAX	FYTD MIN
<b>IWIF REPORTS - BCDC</b>										
<b>FROI to IWIF</b>	10	17	17	19	36	178	176	184	19	17
<b>FROI LAG &gt; 3 DAYS</b>	2	1	1	1	2	15	14	9	1	1
<b>EMPLOYEES &gt; 3 REPORTS</b>	1	0	0	0	0	6	7	4	0	0
<b>WRI REPORTS-BCDC</b>										
<b>Total Incidents Reported to IWIF</b>	10	17	17	19	36	178	176	184	19	17
<b>Incidents with Lost Hours</b>	3	6	4	10	14	76	61	53	10	4
<b>Accident Leave Hours</b>	80	432	560	672	672	17,412	10,436	5,864	672	560
<b>Accident Leave Paid</b>	\$ 983	\$ 5,886	\$ 7,595	\$ 9,253	\$ 9,253	\$ 238,619	\$ 135,934	\$ 79,181	\$ 9,253	\$ 7,595

	May-09	Jun-09	Jul-09	Aug-09	FYTD Total	FY2007	FY2008	FY2009	FYTD MAX	FYTD MIN
	<b>IWIF REPORTS - CBIF</b>									
<b>FROI to IWIF</b>	19	12	6	8	14	108	98	135	8	6
<b>FROI LAG &gt; 3 DAYS</b>	0	0	0	0	0	7	13	7	0	0
<b>EMPLOYEES &gt; 3 REPORTS</b>	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	5	0	0
<b>WRI REPORTS-CBIF</b>										
<b>Total Incidents Reported to IWIF</b>	19	12	6	8	14	108	98	135	8	6
<b>Incidents with Lost Hours</b>	11	7	3	3	6	52	41	46	3	3
<b>Accident Leave Hours</b>	584	888	648	264	\$ 264	8,478	3,786	3,510	648	264
<b>Accident Leave Paid</b>	\$ 9,025	\$ 12,352	\$ 9,329	\$ 3,814	\$ 3,814	\$ 112,216	\$ 49,832	\$ 50,961	\$ 9,329	\$ 3,814





**STATESTAT**  
**DPSCS: DPDS: SAFETY SUMMARY**  
**REPORTING PERIOD: AUGUST 2009**

DPDS - CBIF	Monthly Reporting Periods				YTD		Yearly Reporting Periods			
FIRM Assaults on Staff	May-09	Jun-09	Jul-09	Aug-09	Average	% Change	FY2006	FY2007	FY2008	FY2009
Serious Physical Assault	0	0	0	0	0.0	n/a	0	2	0	1
Serious Weapon Assault	0	0	0	0	0.0	n/a	0	0	0	0
Sexual Assault	0	0	0	0	0.0	n/a	0	0	0	0
Less Serious Physical Assault	2	2	1	3	2.0	200.0%	9	11	12	13
Less Serious Weapon Assault	0	0	0	0	0.0	n/a	0	1	1	0
Bodily Fluid Assault	1	0	0	2	1.0	n/a	4	3	13	6
Inappropriate Physical Contact	0	0	0	0	0.0	n/a	2	3	0	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>400.0%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>Staff Homicide</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
FIRM Assaults on Inmates	May-09	Jun-09	Jul-09	Aug-09	Average	% Change	FY2006	FY2007	FY2008	FY2009
Serious Physical Assault	0	1	0	2	1.0	n/a	6	6	5	13
Serious Weapon Assault	1	0	0	0	0.0	n/a	2	2	5	3
Sexual Assault	0	0	0	0	0.0	n/a	0	0	3	2
Less Serious Physical Assault	12	13	12	16	14.0	33.3%	162	162	167	162
Less Serious Weapon Assault	2	1	1	1	1.0	0.0%	12	15	10	8
Bodily Fluid Assault	0	0	0	0	0.0	n/a	1	1	1	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>16.0</b>	<b>46.2%</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>186</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>188</b>
<b>Inmate Homicide</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Contraband	May-09	Jun-09	Jul-09	Aug-09	Average	% Change	FY2006	FY2007	FY2008	FY2009
Cell phone	15	6	4	1	2.5	-75.0%	11	15	33	104
Cell phone charger/accessory	6	3	0	1	0.5	n/a	6	-	6	41
Weapon, Knife, Inmate Made	23	13	1	7	4.0	600.0%	50	34	8	79
Weapon Knife, Commercially Made	1	0	0	0	0.0	n/a	-	-	1	4
Tobacco	6	5	2	1	1.5	-50.0%	-	4	10	25
CDS	7	3	1	0	0.5	-100.0%	26	20	4	14
Money	0	0	0	1	0.5	n/a	7	26	34	50
Other CBIF Security Data	May-09	Jun-09	Jul-09	Aug-09	Average	% Change	FY2006	FY2007	FY2008	FY2009
Escapes	0	0	0	0	0.0	n/a	2	0	0	0
Walk-Offs	0	0	0	0	0.0	n/a	0	0	0	0
Erroneous releases	0	0	0	0	0.0	n/a	0	0	0	2

**Volume 4, Number 8**

**Mandatory Release (MAN):** A non-discretionary release from prison required by law after a criminal offender has served his or her sentence, less diminution of confinement credits.

**Parole (PAR):** A discretionary, conditional release from criminal imprisonment granted by the Maryland Parole Commission.

**Probation (PRO):** A disposition under which a court defers imposition of a sentence or suspends the sentence and releases an individual under prescribed terms and rules for a specified period of time.

**Probation Before Judgment (PBJ):** A disposition under which a court defers imposition of a verdict but may require compliance with prescribed rules and terms for a specified period of time.

**SUPERVISION LEVEL:**

**Violence Prevention Initiative (VP1 and VP2):** A supervision level for cases assigned to the Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI). VPI represents a strategy for the management of offenders who have been convicted of specified violent offenses and who, on the basis of a screening process, exhibit traits which have been linked through research to an increased potential for violent recidivism. Such individuals are diverted to a containment-based, intensive supervision strategy structured to minimize the threat they might otherwise represent to the community. This strategy involves the close monitoring and strict enforcement of an individual's compliance with all conditions of supervision, and immediate and decisive action in response to any instances of deliberate non-compliance. On 3/24/2009, DPP began stepping down VP1 offenders to VP2 and April 2009 was the first full month that VP2 data was available to report.

**Intensive (INT):** A supervision level to which cases with a risk assessment score of fifteen or above are assigned. At this level, a significant number of risk factors for recidivism are present which generally require substantial agent activity in the form of informational and motivational interviewing, initiation and monitoring of referrals, and response to non-compliant behavior – specifically through a graduated sanctioning process that leads to greater compliance and more successful

**Intermediate (IMD):** A supervision level to which cases with a risk assessment score above six and below fifteen are assigned. They are either offenders whose initial assessment indicated a lower level of risk to the community, or those whose performance at reassessment reflected successful efforts to address criminogenic factors, thus reducing the risk of recidivism. A change in classification from intensive to intermediate only occurs when it is agreed that the offender's adjustment to supervision merits the change, and that community safety is unlikely to be compromised by the change.

**Review (REV):** A supervision level to which all probation, parole, and mandatory release cases are initially assigned. A case may remain at this level for a maximum of sixty days, during which time essential records are gathered and reviewed, and a risk level is determined.

**Standard (STD):** A supervision level to which cases with a risk assessment score of six or lower are assigned. They are, for the most part, low-risk, low-impact, relatively stable offenders requiring minimal intervention on the part of an agent. For a offender classified as standard, an agent must ensure that the offender is instructed to complete all special conditions, to make all court-ordered payments as required, and to inform the agent immediately if he or she intends to change his or her residence or telephone number, or wishes to travel or relocate to another state.

**CASELOAD TYPE:**

**Drug Court:** Drug Treatment Court provides District and Circuit Court judges in Baltimore City with a sentencing option other than incarceration for non-violent, substance-abusing offenders with a history of drug-related criminal episodes. The program is designed to intervene in the criminal lifestyle of participants, with a goal of interrupting the repetitive cycle of arrest-incarceration-release-re-arrest characteristic of these offenders. The approach involves a specialized, treatment-focused form of intensive probation supervision that can involve such modalities as inpatient therapy, intensive outpatient therapy, mandatory urinalysis, acupuncture, education, transitional housing, and “check” incarceration. Offenders assigned to Drug Court remain in either Intensive or Intermediate level of supervision.

**Sex Offender:** An individual against whom an incident of sexual misbehavior or criminal behavior with sexual intent has been charged, which has resulted in some form of criminal justice intervention or sanction. For purposes of specialized, containment-model supervision, the Maryland Division of Parole and Probation classifies as sexual offenders individuals under current supervision for a sexual offense, individuals under supervision for a non-sexual offense who are required to register as a sexual offender as a result of a prior offense, and individuals identified during the course of supervision as appropriate for specialized management. Risk assessments are conducted on these offenders using specialized instruments, on the basis of which they are assigned to one of four supervision levels (I-V).

**KIOSK:**

A project designed to allow low-risk, non-violent offenders to use a kiosk – similar to an ATM machine – as their primary means of contact with a DPP agent. The supervision of lower-risk offenders through automated, less labor-intensive methods enables DPP to focus its resources more intensely on higher-risk offenders. Kiosks are now in operation in Baltimore City, Anne Arundel and Prince George’s counties. Offenders assigned to KIOSK reporting may remain in any of the



**STATESTAT**  
**DPCS: DIVISION OF PAROLE AND PROBATION: DPP SUMMARY**  
**REPORTING PERIOD: AUGUST 2009**

DRUG TESTING	May-09	Jun-09	Jul-09	Aug-09	% Change	FY YEAR TO DATE			
						Average	Minimum	Maximum	Periods
Urine Samples Tested	24,201	27,126	25,265	26,994	6.8%	26,130	25,265	26,994	2
Positive Urine Samples	5,028	5,685	5,040	5,477	8.7%	5,259	5,040	5,477	2
Percentage Positive	21.0%	21%	20%	20%	0.0%	20%	20%	20%	2
<b>COLLECTIONS</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>Jun-09</b>	<b>Jul-09</b>	<b>Aug-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Collected	\$3,207,419	\$1,804,180	\$2,400,164	\$1,567,220	-34.7%	1,983,692	1,567,220	2,400,164	2
Restitution Disbursed	\$745,247	\$646,184	\$895,696	\$446,701	-50.1%	671,199	446,701	895,696	2
Fines Disbursed	\$70,236	\$381	\$144,086	\$39,663	-72.5%	91,875	39,663	144,086	2
Costs Disbursed	\$81,465	\$1,775	\$153,944	\$41,541	-73.0%	97,743	41,541	153,944	2
Supervision Fee Disbursed	\$1,103,432	\$527,050	\$606,710	\$441,138	-27.3%	523,924	441,138	606,710	2
DDMP Program Fee Disbursed	\$915,775	\$570,446	\$715,427	\$568,687	-20.5%	642,057	568,687	715,427	2

CASELOADS	Statewide	May-09	Jun-09	Jul-09	Aug-09	% Change	FY 2009 YEAR TO DATE			
							Average	Minimum	Maximum	Periods
Total Supervised		68,332	68,674	69,503	69,892	0.6%	69,698	69,503	69,892	2
Parole		4,243	4,342	4,453	4,538	1.9%	4,496	4,453	4,538	2
Mandatory		4,879	4,926	4,984	4,965	-0.4%	4,975	4,965	4,984	2
Probation		45,213	45,260	45,722	45,975	0.6%	45,849	45,722	45,975	2
PBJ		13,997	14,146	14,344	14,414	0.5%	14,379	14,344	14,414	2
DDMP		15,769	15,807	16,196	16,290	0.6%	16,243	16,196	16,290	2
Average Case per Supervision Agent		94	97	99	96	-3.0%	98	96	99	2
Average Case per Monitor		205	205	213	214	0.5%	214	213	214	2

<b>Baltimore City</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>Jun-09</b>	<b>Jul-09</b>	<b>Aug-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	16,677	16,899	17,025	16,946	-0.5%	16,986	16,946	17,025	2
Parole	1,528	1,565	1,594	1,615	1.3%	1,605	1,594	1,615	2
Mandatory	2,293	2,369	2,380	2,337	-1.8%	2,359	2,337	2,380	2
Probation	11,021	11,123	11,195	11,141	-0.5%	11,168	11,141	11,195	2
PBJ	1,819	1,826	1,843	1,840	-0.2%	1,842	1,840	1,843	2
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	1,422	1,404	1,418	1,392	-1.8%	1,405	1,392	1,418	2
Sex Offender Cases	524	774	779	756	-3.0%	768	756	779	2
General Cases	14,731	14,721	14,811	14,798	-0.1%	14,805	14,798	14,811	2
# of Supervision Agents	226	231	226	230	1.8%	228	226	230	2
# of VPI Caseloads	44	44	43	45	4.7%	44	43	45	2
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	16	25	25	25	0.0%	25	25	25	2
# of General Caseloads	166	162	158	160	1.3%	159	158	160	2
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	32	32	33	31	-6.1%	32	31	33	2
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	33	31	31	30	-3.2%	31	30	31	2
Average # General Cases per Agent	89	91	94	92	-2.1%	93	92	94	2
<b>Allegany</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>Jun-09</b>	<b>Jul-09</b>	<b>Aug-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	612	597	595	602	1.2%	599	595	602	2
Parole	45	44	47	50	6.4%	49	47	50	2
Mandatory	60	58	54	57	5.6%	56	54	57	2
Probation	474	460	459	458	-0.2%	459	458	459	2
PBJ	26	22	21	22	4.8%	22	21	22	2
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	7	5	4	4	0.0%	4	4	4	2
Sex Offender Cases	56	54	53	53	0.0%	53	53	53	2
General Cases	549	538	538	545	1.3%	542	538	545	2
# of Supervision Agents	7	7	7	6	-14.3%	7	6	7	2
# of VPI Caseloads	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	2
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	2	2	2	2	0.0%	2	2	2	2
# of General Caseloads	5	5	5	4	-20.0%	5	4	5	2
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	n/a
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	28	27	27	27	0.0%	27	27	27	2
Average # General Cases per Agent	82	80	78	100	28.2%	89	78	100	2
<b>Anne Arundel</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>Jun-09</b>	<b>Jul-09</b>	<b>Aug-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	4,412	4,483	4,520	4,580	1.3%	4,550	4,520	4,580	2
Parole	174	185	189	196	3.7%	193	189	196	2
Mandatory	217	236	235	235	0.0%	235	235	235	2
Probation	3,173	3,197	3,221	3,253	1.0%	3,237	3,221	3,253	2
PBJ	841	860	871	893	2.5%	882	871	893	2
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	132	144	139	136	-2.2%	138	136	139	2
Sex Offender Cases	170	172	166	170	2.4%	168	166	170	2
General Cases	4,110	4,167	4,219	4,274	1.3%	4,247	4,219	4,274	2
# of Supervision Agents	37	34	34	36	5.9%	35	34	36	2
# of VPI Caseloads	5	5	5	5	0.0%	5	5	5	2
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	8	8	8	8	0.0%	8	8	8	2
# of General Caseloads	24	21	21	23	9.5%	22	21	23	2
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	26	29	28	27	-3.6%	28	27	28	2
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	21	22	21	21	0.0%	21	21	21	2
Average # General Cases per Agent	171	198	201	186	-7.5%	194	186	201	2

<b>Baltimore</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>Jun-09</b>	<b>Jul-09</b>	<b>Aug-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	6,215	5,988	6,049	6,124	1.2%	6,087	6,049	6,124	2
Parole	467	464	479	504	5.2%	492	479	504	2
Mandatory	571	507	519	544	4.8%	532	519	544	2
Probation	4,146	3,977	4,005	4,041	0.9%	4,023	4,005	4,041	2
PBJ	1,013	1,023	1,031	1,020	-1.1%	1,026	1,020	1,031	2
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	2
Sex Offender Cases	269	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	2
General Cases	5,946	5,988	6,049	6,124	1.2%	6,087	6,049	6,124	2
# of Supervision Agents	45	36	36	38	5.6%	37	36	38	2
# of VPI Caseloads	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	2
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	9	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	2
# of General Caseloads	36	36	36	38	5.6%	37	36	38	2
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	2
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	30	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	2
Average # General Cases per Agent	150	166	168	161	-4.2%	165	161	168	2
<b>Calvert</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>Jun-09</b>	<b>Jul-09</b>	<b>Aug-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	418	409	415	434	4.6%	425	415	434	2
Parole	33	34	37	35	-5.4%	36	35	37	2
Mandatory	39	40	41	42	2.4%	42	41	42	2
Probation	321	315	317	336	6.0%	327	317	336	2
PBJ	21	18	19	20	5.3%	20	19	20	2
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	19	16	18	16	-11.1%	17	16	18	2
Sex Offender Cases	43	39	38	38	0.0%	38	38	38	2
General Cases	356	354	359	380	5.8%	370	359	380	2
# of Supervision Agents	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	0.0%	5	5	5	2
# of VPI Caseloads	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	1	1	1	1	0.0%	1	1	1	2
# of General Caseloads	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	0.0%	4	4	4	2
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	43	39	38	38	0.0%	38	38	38	2
Average # General Cases per Agent	102	101	103	109	5.8%	106	103	109	2
<b>Caroline</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>Jun-09</b>	<b>Jul-09</b>	<b>Aug-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	453	464	480	484	0.8%	482	480	484	2
Parole	26	27	30	29	-3.3%	30	29	30	2
Mandatory	24	24	25	27	8.0%	26	25	27	2
Probation	319	331	339	347	2.4%	343	339	347	2
PBJ	83	82	86	79	-8.1%	83	79	86	2
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	9	8	9	8	-11.1%	9	8	9	2
Sex Offender Cases	21	22	22	21	-4.5%	22	21	22	2
General Cases	423	434	449	455	1.3%	452	449	455	2
# of Supervision Agents	6	5	5	6	20.0%	6	5	6	2
# of VPI Caseloads	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# of General Caseloads	5	4	4	6	50.0%	5	4	6	2
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # General Cases per Agent	85	109	112	76	-32.1%	94	76	112	2

<b>Carroll</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>Jun-09</b>	<b>Jul-09</b>	<b>Aug-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	1,313	1,321	1,343	1,376	2.5%	1,360	1,343	1,376	2
Parole	74	68	79	81	2.5%	80	79	81	2
Mandatory	60	60	57	57	0.0%	57	57	57	2
Probation	871	874	887	902	1.7%	895	887	902	2
PBJ	304	314	316	331	4.7%	324	316	331	2
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	9	8	7	11	57.1%	9	7	11	2
Sex Offender Cases	68	66	66	70	6.1%	68	66	70	2
General Cases	1,236	1,247	1,270	1,295	2.0%	1,283	1,270	1,295	2
# of Supervision Agents	12.5	12.5	12.5	12.5	0.0%	13	13	13	2
# of VPI Caseloads	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	2	2	2	2	0.0%	2	2	2	2
# of General Caseloads	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	0.0%	11	11	11	2
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	34	33	33	35	6.1%	34	33	35	2
Average # General Cases per Agent	118	119	121	123	1.7%	122	121	123	2
<b>Cecil</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>Jun-09</b>	<b>Jul-09</b>	<b>Aug-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	900	910	892	890	-0.2%	891	890	892	2
Parole	50	56	54	59	9.3%	57	54	59	2
Mandatory	52	51	51	49	-3.9%	50	49	51	2
Probation	683	678	664	666	0.3%	665	664	666	2
PBJ	113	123	122	116	-4.9%	119	116	122	2
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	10	11	7	8	14.3%	8	7	8	2
Sex Offender Cases	76	73	71	70	-1.4%	71	70	71	2
General Cases	814	826	813	812	-0.1%	813	812	813	2
# of Supervision Agents	10	10	10	10	0.0%	10	10	10	2
# of VPI Caseloads	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	3	3	3	3	0.0%	3	3	3	2
# of General Caseloads	7	7	7	7	0.0%	7	7	7	2
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	25	24	24	23	-4.2%	24	23	24	2
Average # General Cases per Agent	116	118	116	116	0.0%	116	116	116	2
<b>Charles</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>Jun-09</b>	<b>Jul-09</b>	<b>Aug-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	1,472	1,455	1,449	1,473	1.7%	1,461	1,449	1,473	2
Parole	178	185	184	177	-3.8%	181	177	184	2
Mandatory	140	142	140	143	2.1%	142	140	143	2
Probation	1,101	1,077	1,073	1,102	2.7%	1,088	1,073	1,102	2
PBJ	53	51	52	51	-1.9%	52	51	52	2
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	39	36	39	38	-2.6%	39	38	39	2
Sex Offender Cases	83	81	78	78	0.0%	78	78	78	2
General Cases	1,350	1,338	1,332	1,357	1.9%	1,345	1,332	1,357	2
# of Supervision Agents	11	11	11	12	9.1%	12	11	12	2
# of VPI Caseloads	2	2	2	2	0.0%	2	2	2	2
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	2	2	2	2	0.0%	2	2	2	2
# of General Caseloads	7	7	7	8	14.3%	8	7	8	2
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	20	18	20	19	-5.0%	20	19	20	2
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	35	34	30	30	0.0%	30	30	30	2
Average # General Cases per Agent	193	191	190	170	-10.5%	180	170	190	2

<b>Dorchester</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>Jun-09</b>	<b>Jul-09</b>	<b>Aug-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	398	412	432	439	1.6%	436	432	439	2
Parole	50	50	57	60	5.3%	59	57	60	2
Mandatory	51	52	53	54	1.9%	54	53	54	2
Probation	254	261	272	274	0.7%	273	272	274	2
PBJ	36	42	44	44	0.0%	44	44	44	2
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	21	23	22	25	13.6%	24	22	25	2
Sex Offender Cases	25	26	24	22	-8.3%	23	22	24	2
General Cases	352	363	386	392	1.6%	389	386	392	2
# of Supervision Agents	5	5	5	6	20.0%	6	5	6	2
# of VPI Caseloads	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# of General Caseloads	5	5	5	6	20.0%	6	5	6	2
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # General Cases per Agent	70	73	77	65	-15.6%	71	65	77	2
<b>Frederick</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>Jun-09</b>	<b>Jul-09</b>	<b>Aug-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	1,114	1,142	1,155	1,140	-1.3%	1,148	1,140	1,155	2
Parole	88	92	100	99	-1.0%	100	99	100	2
Mandatory	45	48	50	49	-2.0%	50	49	50	2
Probation	773	790	810	798	-1.5%	804	798	810	2
PBJ	153	157	145	147	1.4%	146	145	147	2
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	27	23	24	27	12.5%	26	24	27	2
Sex Offender Cases	83	80	79	82	3.8%	81	79	82	2
General Cases	1,004	1,039	1,051	1,031	-1.9%	1,041	1,031	1,051	2
# of Supervision Agents	12	12	12	13	8.3%	13	0	13	2
# of VPI Caseloads	1	1	1	1	0.0%	1	1	1	2
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	3	3	3	3	0.0%	3	3	3	2
# of General Caseloads	8	8	8	9	12.5%	9	8	9	2
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	27	23	24	27	12.5%	26	24	27	2
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	28	27	26	27	3.8%	27	26	27	2
Average # General Cases per Agent	126	130	131	115	-12.2%	123	115	131	2
<b>Garrett</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>Jun-09</b>	<b>Jul-09</b>	<b>Aug-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	157	162	159	157	-1.3%	158	157	159	2
Parole	17	18	17	16	-5.9%	17	16	17	2
Mandatory	15	14	14	15	7.1%	15	14	15	2
Probation	120	126	124	121	-2.4%	123	121	124	2
PBJ	3	2	2	2	0.0%	2	2	2	2
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	1	2	3	3	0.0%	3	3	3	2
Sex Offender Cases	26	27	27	26	-3.7%	27	26	27	2
General Cases	130	133	129	128	-0.8%	129	128	129	2
# of Supervision Agents	3	3	3	3	0.0%	3	3	3	2
# of VPI Caseloads	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	1	1	1	1	0.0%	1	1	1	2
# of General Caseloads	2	2	2	2	0.0%	2	2	2	2
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	26	27	27	26	-3.7%	27	26	27	2
Average # General Cases per Agent	65	67	65	64	-1.5%	65	64	65	2

<b>Harford</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>Jun-09</b>	<b>Jul-09</b>	<b>Aug-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	2,355	2,354	2,353	2,358	0.2%	2,356	2,353	2,358	2
Parole	160	159	163	165	1.2%	164	163	165	2
Mandatory	134	149	149	149	0.0%	149	149	149	2
Probation	1,664	1,645	1,659	1,660	0.1%	1,660	1,659	1,660	2
PBJ	372	380	368	368	0.0%	368	368	368	2
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	40	44	42	41	-2.4%	42	41	42	2
Sex Offender Cases	98	95	92	97	5.4%	95	92	97	2
General Cases	2,217	2,215	2,222	2,220	-0.1%	2,221	2,220	2,222	2
# of Supervision Agents	24	24	24	24	0.0%	24	24	24	2
# of VPI Caseloads	1	1	1	1	0.0%	1	1	1	2
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	3	3	3	3	0.0%	3	3	3	2
# of General Caseloads	20	20	20	20	0.0%	20	20	20	2
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	26	26	25	32	28.0%	29	25	32	2
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	33	32	31	32	3.2%	32	31	32	2
Average # General Cases per Agent	111	111	111	111	0.0%	111	111	111	2
<b>Howard</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>Jun-09</b>	<b>Jul-09</b>	<b>Aug-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	966	954	949	944	-0.5%	947	944	949	2
Parole	39	41	39	39	0.0%	39	39	39	2
Mandatory	50	51	54	54	0.0%	54	54	54	2
Probation	641	630	627	631	0.6%	629	627	631	2
PBJ	223	218	216	207	-4.2%	212	207	216	2
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	23	21	24	24	0.0%	24	24	24	2
Sex Offender Cases	53	54	53	50	-5.7%	52	50	53	2
General Cases	890	879	872	870	-0.2%	871	870	872	2
# of Supervision Agents	11	11	11	11	0.0%	11	11	11	2
# of VPI Caseloads	1	1	1	1	0.0%	1	1	1	2
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	2	2	2	2	0.0%	2	2	2	2
# of General Caseloads	8	8	8	8	0.0%	8	8	8	2
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	23	21	24	24	0.0%	24	24	24	2
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	27	27	27	25	-7.4%	26	25	27	2
Average # General Cases per Agent	111	110	109	109	0.0%	109	109	109	2
<b>Kent</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>Jun-09</b>	<b>Jul-09</b>	<b>Aug-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	235	233	236	229	-3.0%	233	229	236	2
Parole	40	39	37	33	-10.8%	35	33	37	2
Mandatory	12	13	16	13	-18.8%	15	13	16	2
Probation	146	147	145	143	-1.4%	144	143	145	2
PBJ	37	34	37	39	5.4%	38	37	39	2
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	12	14	13	11	-15.4%	12	11	13	2
Sex Offender Cases	4	6	6	5	-16.7%	6	5	6	2
General Cases	219	213	218	213	-2.3%	216	213	218	2
# of Supervision Agents	3	3	3	3	0.0%	3	0	3	2
# of VPI Caseloads	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# of General Caseloads	3	3	3	3	0.0%	3	3	3	2
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # General Cases per Agent	73	71	73	71	-2.7%	72	71	73	2

<b>Montgomery</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>Jun-09</b>	<b>Jul-09</b>	<b>Aug-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	4,006	4,047	4,195	4,367	4.1%	4,281	4,195	4,367	2
Parole	121	123	124	135	8.9%	130	124	135	2
Mandatory	115	108	112	114	1.8%	113	112	114	2
Probation	3,262	3,294	3,409	3,556	4.3%	3,483	3,409	3,556	2
PBJ	506	518	547	560	2.4%	554	547	560	2
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	58	51	51	55	7.8%	53	51	55	2
Sex Offender Cases	203	202	212	213	0.5%	213	212	213	2
General Cases	3,745	3,794	3,932	4,099	4.2%	4,016	3,932	4,099	2
# of Supervision Agents	35	34	33	37	12.1%	35	33	37	2
# of VPI Caseloads	2	2	2	2	0.0%	2	2	2	2
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	7	7	7	7	0.0%	7	7	7	2
# of General Caseloads	26	25	24	28	16.7%	26	24	28	2
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	29	26	26	28	7.7%	27	26	28	2
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	29	29	30	30	0.0%	30	30	30	2
Average # General Cases per Agent	144	152	164	146	-11.0%	155	146	164	2
<b>Prince George's</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>Jun-09</b>	<b>Jul-09</b>	<b>Aug-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	5,698	5,684	5,776	5,774	0.0%	5,775	5,774	5,776	2
Parole	394	405	418	430	2.9%	424	418	430	2
Mandatory	469	465	481	484	0.6%	483	481	484	2
Probation	4,464	4,448	4,511	4,484	-0.6%	4,498	4,484	4,511	2
PBJ	364	360	360	368	2.2%	364	360	368	2
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	193	189	197	191	-3.0%	194	191	197	2
Sex Offender Cases	289	276	277	273	-1.4%	275	273	277	2
General Cases	5,216	5,219	5,297	5,310	0.2%	5,304	5,297	5,310	2
# of Supervision Agents	50	48	50	54	8.0%	52	50	54	2
# of VPI Caseloads	6	6	6	6	0.0%	6	6	6	2
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	10	10	10	10	0.0%	10	10	10	2
# of General Caseloads	34	32	34	38	11.8%	36	34	38	2
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	32	32	33	32	-3.0%	33	32	33	2
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	29	28	28	27	-3.6%	28	27	28	2
Average # General Cases per Agent	153	163	156	140	-10.3%	148	140	156	2
<b>Queen Anne's</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>Jun-09</b>	<b>Jul-09</b>	<b>Aug-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	383	377	379	376	-0.8%	378	376	379	2
Parole	41	40	40	39	-2.5%	40	39	40	2
Mandatory	20	20	23	25	8.7%	24	23	25	2
Probation	258	254	248	245	-1.2%	247	245	248	2
PBJ	64	63	68	67	-1.5%	68	67	68	2
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	3	5	6	5	-16.7%	6	5	6	2
Sex Offender Cases	15	12	11	12	9.1%	12	11	12	2
General Cases	365	360	362	359	-0.8%	361	359	362	2
# of Supervision Agents	5	5	5	5	0.0%	5	5	5	2
# of VPI Caseloads	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# of General Caseloads	5	5	5	5	0.0%	5	5	5	2
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # General Cases per Agent	73	72	72	72	0.0%	72	72	72	2

<b>St. Mary's</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>Jun-09</b>	<b>Jul-09</b>	<b>Aug-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	428	417	433	431	-0.5%	432	431	433	2
Parole	46	50	55	60	9.1%	58	55	60	2
Mandatory	47	48	53	47	-11.3%	50	47	53	2
Probation	318	306	311	310	-0.3%	311	310	311	2
PBJ	10	7	6	6	0.0%	6	6	6	2
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	14	17	16	13	-18.8%	15	13	16	2
Sex Offender Cases	59	56	58	53	-8.6%	56	53	58	2
General Cases	355	344	359	365	1.7%	362	359	365	2
# of Supervision Agents	6	5	5	5	0.0%	5	5	5	2
# of VPI Caseloads	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	2	2	2	2	0.0%	2	2	2	2
# of General Caseloads	4	3	3	3	0.0%	3	3	3	2
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	30	28	29	27	-6.9%	28	27	29	2
Average # General Cases per Agent	89	115	120	122	1.7%	121	120	122	2
<b>Somerset</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>Jun-09</b>	<b>Jul-09</b>	<b>Aug-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	260	259	249	243	-2.4%	246	243	249	2
Parole	65	63	62	57	-8.1%	60	57	62	2
Mandatory	32	33	30	30	0.0%	30	30	30	2
Probation	131	124	117	119	1.7%	118	117	119	2
PBJ	30	36	37	35	-5.4%	36	35	37	2
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	10	10	12	9	-25.0%	11	9	12	2
Sex Offender Cases	13	14	14	16	14.3%	15	14	16	2
General Cases	250	235	222	218	-1.8%	220	218	222	2
# of Supervision Agents	3	3	3	3	0.0%	3	3	3	2
# of VPI Caseloads	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# of General Caseloads	3	3	3	3	0.0%	3	3	3	2
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # General Cases per Agent	83	78	74	73	-1.4%	74	73	74	2
<b>Talbot</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>Jun-09</b>	<b>Jul-09</b>	<b>Aug-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>40,163</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	398	395	393	404	2.8%	399	393	404	2
Parole	38	38	39	42	7.7%	41	39	42	2
Mandatory	34	34	35	33	-5.7%	34	33	35	2
Probation	285	284	281	280	-0.4%	281	280	281	2
PBJ	41	39	38	49	28.9%	44	38	49	2
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	11	15	14	14	0.0%	14	14	14	2
Sex Offender Cases	21	20	22	21	-4.5%	22	21	22	2
General Cases	366	360	356	379	6.5%	368	356	379	2
# of Supervision Agents	5	5	4	4	0.0%	4	4	4	2
# of VPI Caseloads	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# of General Caseloads	5	5	4	4	0.0%	4	4	4	2
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # General Cases per Agent	73	72	89	95	6.7%	92	89	95	2

<b>Washington</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>Jun-09</b>	<b>Jul-09</b>	<b>Aug-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	1,745	1,733	1,730	1,697	-1.9%	1,714	1,697	1,730	2
Parole	250	261	270	277	2.6%	274	270	277	2
Mandatory	180	181	183	186	1.6%	185	183	186	2
Probation	1,016	1,001	990	958	-3.2%	974	958	990	2
PBJ	278	270	269	261	-3.0%	265	261	269	2
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	36	33	33	35	6.1%	34	33	35	2
Sex Offender Cases	69	68	68	75	10.3%	72	68	75	2
General Cases	1,640	1,632	1,630	1,587	-2.6%	1,609	1,587	1,630	2
# of Supervision Agents	12	12	12	12	0.0%	12	12	12	2
# of VPI Caseloads	1	1	1	1	0.0%	1	1	1	2
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	2	2	2	2	0.0%	2	2	2	2
# of General Caseloads	9	9	9	9	0.0%	9	9	9	2
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	36	33	33	35	6.1%	34	33	35	2
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	35	34	34	38	11.8%	36	34	38	2
Average # General Cases per Agent	182	181	181	176	-2.8%	179	176	181	2
<b>Wicomico</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>Jun-09</b>	<b>Jul-09</b>	<b>Aug-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	1,133	1,166	1,200	1,197	-0.3%	1,199	1,197	1,200	2
Parole	196	201	202	199	-1.5%	201	199	202	2
Mandatory	155	160	166	162	-2.4%	164	162	166	2
Probation	648	678	702	699	-0.4%	701	699	702	2
PBJ	133	127	129	136	5.4%	133	129	136	2
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	43	45	45	46	2.2%	46	45	46	2
Sex Offender Cases	91	79	78	78	0.0%	78	78	78	2
General Cases	1,012	1,042	1,075	1,073	-0.2%	1,074	1,073	1,075	2
# of Supervision Agents	18	17	17	17	0.0%	17	17	17	2
# of VPI Caseloads	2	2	2	2	0.0%	2	2	2	2
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	3	2	2	2	0.0%	2	2	2	2
# of General Caseloads	13	13	13	13	0.0%	13	13	13	2
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	22	23	23	23	0.0%	23	23	23	2
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	30	40	39	39	0.0%	39	39	39	2
Average # General Cases per Agent	78	80	83	83	0.0%	83	83	83	2
<b>Worcester</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>Jun-09</b>	<b>Jul-09</b>	<b>Aug-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	1,166	1,200	1,217	1,254	3.0%	1,236	1,217	1,254	2
Parole	120	130	132	138	4.5%	135	132	138	2
Mandatory	63	62	63	57	-9.5%	60	57	63	2
Probation	745	761	765	802	4.8%	784	765	802	2
PBJ	237	246	256	256	0.0%	256	256	256	2
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	7	10	9	8	-11.1%	9	8	9	2
Sex Offender Cases	30	25	26	26	0.0%	26	26	26	2
General Cases	1,129	1,165	1,182	1,220	3.2%	1,201	1,182	1,220	2
# of Supervision Agents	7	7	7	7	0.0%	7	7	7	2
# of VPI Caseloads	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	1	1	1	1	0.0%	1	1	1	2
# of General Caseloads	6	6	6	6	0.0%	6	6	6	2
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	30	25	26	26	0.0%	26	26	26	2
Average # General Cases per Agent	188	194	197	203	3.0%	200	197	203	2



**STATESTAT**  
**DPCS: DIVISION OF PAROLE AND PROBATION: PERSONNEL**  
**REPORTING PERIOD: AUGUST 2009**

						FY YEAR TO DATE				
Staffing Requirements	May-09	Jun-09	Jul-09	Aug-09	% Change	Average	Minimum	Maximum		Periods
Total PINs Filled	1196	1213	1213	1201	-1.0%	1207	1201	1213		2
Total Vacant PINs				86	n/a	86	86	86		1
Total Contractual Positions Filled	121	121	121	113.4	-6.6%	117	113	121		2
Total Contractual Vacancies	8	8	8	6	-25.0%	7	6	8		2
Total Contractual Positions				119.4		119	119.4	119.4		1
						FY YEAR TO DATE				
Case Management Requirements	May-09	Jun-09	Jul-09	Aug-09	% Change	Average	Minimum	Maximum		Periods
Total # of DPP Agent Positions Filled <sup>1</sup>				685	n/a	685	685	685		1
# of Supervision Agents	558	545	540	559	3.5%	546	540	559		3
# of Supervision Agent Vacancies	52	32	35	43	22.9%	38	35	43		3
# of Monitors	77	77	76	76	0.0%	76	76	76		3
# of Monitor Vacancies	10	10	11	11	0.0%	11	11	11		3
						CY YEAR TO DATE				
	May-09	Jun-09	Jul-09	Aug-09	% Change	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Total	Periods
IWIF REPORTS										
FROI to IWIF	6	10	2	8	300.0%	4.8	2	10	38	8
Claims reported in > 3 days	1	0	1	0	-100.0%	0.3	0	1	2	8
Employees with >3 claims/year	0	0	0	0	n/a	0.0	0	0	0	8
LEAVE										
	CY 06	CY 07	CY 08		CY 09 YTD					
Sick leave (hours)	116,145	98,055	100,719		63,066					
	FY 06	FY 07	FY 08	FY 09	FY 10 YTD					
Accident leave (hours)	1,996	2,203	1,400	1,976	48					



**STATESTAT**  
**DPPSCS: DPP: INTELLIGENCE**  
**REPORTING PERIOD: AUGUST 2009**

<b>DPP INTELLIGENCE</b>									
<b>(Baltimore City)</b>	<b>MONTHLY REPORTING PERIODS</b>					<b>FY YEAR TO DATE</b>			
	May-09	Jun-09	Jul-09	Aug-09	% Change	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Periods
Homicide Victims Total	20	21	16	17	6.3%	17	16	17	2
<b>Homicide Victims DPP</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	4	3	4	2
VPI				2	na	2	2	2	1
Non-VPI				2	na	2	2	2	1
Homicide Suspects Total	12	10	5	7	40.0%	6	5	7	2
<b>Homicide Suspects DPP</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>-66.7%</b>	2	1	3	2
VPI				1	na	1	1	1	1
Non-VPI				0	na	0	0	0	1
NFS Suspects Total	12	16	19	18	-5.3%	19	18	19	2
<b>NFS Suspects DPP</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>-63.6%</b>	8	4	11	2
VPI				1	na	1	1	1	1
Non-VPI				3	na	3	3	3	1
NFS Victims Total	28	38	54	51	-5.6%	53	51	54	2
<b>NFS Victims DPP</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	9	9	9	2
VPI				4	na	4	4	4	1
Non-VPI				5	na	5	5	5	1
<b>WC Suspects DPP</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>-32.4%</b>	29	23	34	2

  

<b>(Baltimore County)</b>	<b>MONTHLY REPORTING PERIODS</b>					<b>FY YEAR TO DATE</b>			
	May-09	Jun-09	Jul-09	Aug-09	% Change	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Periods
Homicide Victims Total	2	4	1	2	100.0%	2	1	2	2
<b>Homicide Victims DPP</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>na</b>	0	0	0	2
VPI				0	na	0	0	0	1
Non-VPI				0	na	0	0	0	1
Homicide Suspects Total	2	5	1	0	-100.0%	1	0	1	2
<b>Homicide Suspects DPP</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-100.0%</b>	1	0	1	2
VPI				0	na	0	0	0	1
Non-VPI				0	na	0	0	0	1
NFS Suspects Total	3	3	9	0	-100.0%	5	0	9	2
<b>NFS Suspects DPP</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-100.0%</b>	2	0	3	2
VPI				0	na	0	0	0	1
Non-VPI				0	na	0	0	0	1
NFS Victims Total	5	4	6	1	-83.3%	4	1	6	2
<b>NFS Victims DPP</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-100.0%</b>	1	0	2	2
VPI				0	na	0	0	0	1
Non-VPI				0	na	0	0	0	1
<b>WC Suspects DPP</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>-42.9%</b>	11	8	14	2

(Prince George's County)	MONTHLY REPORTING PERIODS					FY YEAR TO DATE			
	May-09	Jun-09	Jul-09	Aug-09	% Change	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Periods
Homicide Victims Total	6	12	11	7	-36.4%	9	7	11	2
<b>Homicide Victims DPP</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-100.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>
VPI				0	na	0	0	0	1
Non-VPI				0	na	0	0	0	1
Homicide Suspects Total	2	8	7	9	28.6%	8	7	9	2
<b>Homicide Suspects DPP</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-100.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>
VPI				0	na	0	0	0	1
Non-VPI				0	na	0	0	0	1
NFS Suspects Total	9	2	6	5	-16.7%	6	5	6	2
<b>NFS Suspects DPP</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-100.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>
VPI				0	na	0	0	0	1
Non-VPI				0	na	0	0	0	1
NFS Victims Total	15	8	23	18	-21.7%	21	18	23	2
<b>NFS Victims DPP</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>
VPI				0	na	0	0	0	1
Non-VPI				2	na	2	2	2	1
<b>WC Suspects DPP</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>-23.5%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>2</b>

\*- from available data

Jurisdictions Summary (Balto City, Baltimore, PG)	May-09	Jun-09	Jul-09	Aug-09	% Change	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Periods
Homicide Victims Total	28	37	28	26	-7.1%	27	26	28	2
<b>Total Homicide Victims DPP</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>-33.3%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>
VPI				2	na	2	2	2	1
Non-VPI				2	na	2	2	2	1

**DPP HOMICIDE SUSPECT AND VICTIMS**

Statewide	MONTHLY REPORTING PERIODS					FY YEAR TO DATE			
	May-09	Jun-09	Jul-09	Aug-09	% Change	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Periods
Homicide Victims Total	31	40	35	28	-20.0%	32	28	35	2
<b>DPP Homicide Victims</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>
VPI	3	2	1	2	100.0%	2	1	2	2
Non-VPI	5	7	5	4	-20.0%	5	4	5	2
<b>DPP Homicide Suspects</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>-83.3%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>
VPI	1	2	2	1	-50.0%	2	1	2	2
Non-VPI	5	6	4	0	-100.0%	2	0	4	2



STATESTAT  
DPPS: DPP: VPI REPORTS  
REPORTING PERIOD: AUGUST 2009

VPI	VPI REPORTS								% Change	FY2010 YEAR TO DATE			
	MONTHLY REPORTING PERIODS									AVG	MIN	MAX	Periods
	May-09		Jun-09		Jul-09		Aug-09						
VP1	VP2	VP1	VP2	VP1	VP2	VP1	VP2						
<b>Statewide Total ACT Cases</b>	<b>2147</b>		<b>2134</b>		<b>2152</b>		<b>2120</b>		<b>-1.5%</b>	<b>2,136</b>	<b>2,120</b>	<b>2,152</b>	<b>2</b>
Total Warrants Issued	196	8	172	8	184	12	184	10	0.0%	98	10	184	4
Total Technical Violation	120	2	90	5	120	2	99	1	-17.5%	56	1	120	4
Total New Offense	76	6	82	3	64	10	85	9	32.8%	42	9	85	4

NOTES:

May 2009 was the first full month that VP2 data was available.



**STATESTAT**  
**DPSCS: DIVISION OF PAROLE AND PROBATION - VPI CONTACTS**  
**REPORTING PERIOD: SEPTEMBER 2009**

# of VPI Cases, # of Agents, VPI Caseload Ratio, # of Contacts and Contact Average by jurisdiction and by month																		
		Sep-09									Aug-09							
Region	Jurisdiction	# of Dedicated Agents	Total # VPI Cases	CASES				AVERAGES			# of Dedicated Agents	Total # VPI Cases	CASES				AVERAGES	
				# VP1 Cases	# VP1 Contacts	# VP2 Cases	# VP2 Contacts	VPI Caseload	VPI Contacts	VP2 Contacts			# VP1 Cases	# VP1 Contacts	# VP2 Cases	# VP2 Contacts	VPI Caseload	VPI Contacts
H. Alexander	Allegany		4	2	22	2	11		2.8	1.4		4	1	15	3	13		3.8
P. Vale	Anne Arundel	5	139	108	1,438	31	216	26	3.3	1.7	5	136	107	1,283	29	204	26	3.0
B. Brown	Baltimore																	
B. Brown	Baltimore City	46	1,403	1,051	12,519	352	2,032	32	3.0	1.4	45	1,392	1,047	11,503	345	1,837	32	2.7
P. Vale	Calvert		13	12	148	1	6		3.1	1.5		16	8	113	8	32		3.5
D. Dawkins	Caroline		8	3	43	5	48		3.6	2.4		8	5	81	3	19		4.1
H. Alexander	Carroll		9	5	49	4	16		2.5	1.0		11	6	36	5	31		1.5
D. Dawkins	Cecil		13	11	149	2	14		3.4	1.8		8	5	100	3	21		5.0
P. Vale	Charles	2	44	29	409	15	95	20	3.5	1.6	2	38	26	367	12	98	20	3.5
D. Dawkins	Cheschester		23	18	289	5	30		4.0	1.5		25	20	253	5	25		3.2
H. Alexander	Frederick	1	27	19	290	8	48	27	3.8	1.5	1	27	19	246	8	38	27	3.2
H. Alexander	Garrett		1	0	0	1	6		0.0	1.5		3	2	0	1	7		0.0
D. Dawkins	Harford	1	40	25	314	15	103	26	3.1	1.7	1	41	25	329	16	87	26	3.3
H. Alexander	Howard	1	19	13	166	6	48	23	3.2	2.0	1	24	18	182	6	52	23	2.5
D. Dawkins	Kent		6	2	30	4	39		3.8	2.4		11	6	71	5	24		3.0
P. Vale	Montgomery	2	48	30	452	18	141	29	3.8	2.0	2	55	40	385	15	78	29	2.4
P. Vale	Prince George's	6	186	114	1,475	72	439	32	3.2	1.5	6	191	115	1,331	76	471	32	2.9
D. Dawkins	Queen Anne's		6	4	48	2	20		3.0	2.5		5	3	48	2	21		4.0
D. Dawkins	Somerset		10	5	58	5	25		2.9	1.3		9	4	60	5	21		3.8
P. Vale	St. Mary's		10	6	80	4	32		3.3	2.0		13	6	83	7	66		3.5
D. Dawkins	Talbot		13	9	109	4	18		3.0	1.1		14	9	123	5	40		3.4
H. Alexander	Washington	1	32	16	267	16	124	36	4.2	1.9	1	35	18	254	17	121	36	3.5
D. Dawkins	Wicomico	2	36	21	226	15	92	22	2.7	1.5	2	46	23	277	23	118	22	3.0
D. Dawkins	Worcester		3	2	29	1	5		3.6	1.3		8	6	61	2	13		2.5
	<b>Total</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>2,093</b>	<b>1,505</b>	<b>18,610</b>	<b>588</b>	<b>3,608</b>		<b>3.1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>2,120</b>	<b>1,519</b>	<b>17,201</b>	<b>601</b>	<b>3,437</b>		<b>2.8</b>

Footnotes:

1 On March 24, 2009, General Order 07-005 was revised and reissued to include VP2 level of supervision. This is the only VP2 data available. The template had to change to accommodate the level of sup



**STATESTAT**  
**DPCS: DIVISION OF PAROLE AND PROBATION: CONTAINMENT MODEL ARRESTS**  
**REPORTING PERIOD: AUGUST 2009**

VPI	FY2010 YEAR TO DATE													
	May-09		Jun-09		Jul-09		Aug-09		VPI % Change	VP2 % Change	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Periods
Arrested for a New Offense	VPI	VP2	VPI	VP2	VPI	VP2	VPI	VP2						
Arson	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	na	na	0	0	0	4
Assault	30	3	29	3	13	3	14	1	7.7%	-66.7%	8	1	14	4
Att. Murder	3	0	2	1	4	0	0	0	-100.0%	na	1	0	4	4
Burglary	1	1	3	0	4	0	5	1	25.0%	-75.0%	3	0	5	4
Carjacking	0	0	2	0	3	0	1	0	-66.7%	na	1	0	3	4
Drugs	64	9	68	5	72	11	79	12	9.7%	9.1%	44	11	79	4
DWI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	na	na	0	0	0	4
Homicide	1	0	2	0	2	0	0	1	-100.0%	-200.0%	1	0	2	4
Manslaughter	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	na	na	0	0	0	4
MVA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	na	na	0	0	0	4
Other	30	3	24	6	13	9	26	2	100.0%	-77.8%	13	2	26	4
Robbery	7	1	7	0	4	0	2	2	-50.0%	-125.0%	2	0	4	4
Sex Offense	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	na	na	0	0	0	4
Theft	9	2	16	1	3	1	8	1	166.7%	0.0%	3	1	8	4
Weapons	4	2	6	1	4	1	3	3	-25.0%	200.0%	3	1	4	4
<b>Total</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>13.1%</b>	<b>-8.0%</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>170</b>		<b>178</b>		<b>147</b>		<b>161</b>		<b>9.5%</b>					

Sex Offender	FY2010 YEAR TO DATE									
	May-09	Jun-09	Jul-09	Aug-09	% Change	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Periods	
Arson	0	1	0	0	na	0	0	0	0	2
Assault	15	10	9	8	-11.1%	9	8	9	9	2
Att. Murder	0	0	0	0	na	0	0	0	0	2
Burglary	2	0	2	2	0.0%	2	2	2	2	2
Carjacking	0	0	0	0	na	0	0	0	0	2
Drugs	12	7	9	10	11.1%	10	9	10	10	2
DWI	0	0	0	0	na	0	0	0	0	2
Homicide	0	1	0	0	na	0	0	0	0	2
Manslaughter	0	0	0	0	na	0	0	0	0	2
MVA	0	0	0	0	na	0	0	0	0	2
Other	11	1	8	7	-12.5%	8	7	8	8	2
Robbery	0	2	1	0	-100.0%	1	0	1	1	2
Sex Offender Registry Violation	11	11	4	1	-75.0%	3	1	4	4	2
Sex Offense	5	5	2	2	0.0%	2	2	2	2	2
Theft	5	4	2	3	50.0%	3	2	3	3	2
Weapons	1	0	0	0	na	0	0	0	0	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>-10.8%</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>2</b>



STATESTAT

DPCS: DIVISION OF PAROLE AND PROBATION: MD OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN DC

REPORTING PERIOD: AUGUST 2009

Arrest Data - Date Range: 08/01/2009 - 08/31/2009																
D.C. Re-Arrest Data																
MARYLAND SUPERVISION CHARGE	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA RE-ARREST CHARGE															Totals
	Arson	Assault	Auto Theft	Burglary	CDS Violation	Court Process	DWI / DUI	Fraud	Homicide	MVA Citation	Public Order	Robbery	Sex Offense	Theft	Weapon Offense	
Arson													1			1
Assault		1			3	6				2	4			1		17
Auto Theft		1			1	2				3	1			2		10
Burglary		2			1	1				1	1	1			1	8
CDS Violation		5	2		6	15					6		2	1	2	39
Court Process																0
DWI / DUI		2		2	1	3	2			2	3					15
Fraud						3	1	1								5
Homicide																0
MVA Citation		1			1	1					1					4
Public Order		2			1	2				1					1	7
Robbery		1			1	6				1	1					10
Sex Offense													1			1
Theft		2	1	3	5	6	1			1	3		1	4	1	28
Weapon Offense				1	3	2				2			1		1	10
Closed Cases																0
<b>Totals</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>155</b>



**STATESTAT**  
**DPCS: DIVISION OF PAROLE AND PROBATION: VIRGINIA REARRESTS**  
**REPORTING PERIOD: AUGUST 2009**

**Arrest Data - Date Range: 08/01/2009 - 08/31/2009**  
**Virginia Re-Arrest Data**

MARYLAND SUPERVISION CHARGE	VIRGINIA RE-ARREST CHARGE															Totals
	Arson	Assault	Auto Theft	Burglary	CDS Violation	Court Process	DWI / DUI	Fraud	Homicide	MVA Citation	Public Order	Robbery	Sex Offense	Theft	Weapon Offense	
Arson											1					1
Assault					1	6	1	1						1		10
Auto Theft		1				3	1							1		6
Burglary		3			1											4
CDS Violation		1		1	2	3		1			2				1	11
Court Process																0
DWI / DUI						3	4				1		1			9
Fraud						2								1		3
Homicide																0
MVA Citation					1											1
Public Order						3					1					4
Robbery							1							2		3
Sex Offense																0
Theft				1	1	4		2						3		11
Weapon Offense																0
No Active Cases																0
<b>Totals</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>63</b>



**STATESTAT**  
**DPCS: INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY & COMMUNICATION DIVISION**  
**ARREST OFFENSES QUALIFYING FOR DNA**  
**REPORTING PERIOD: SEPTEMBER 2009**

County	Jul-09		Aug-09		Sep-09	
	Arrest Offense Qualified for DNA Testing (DNA NOT ON FILE)	Arrest Offense Qualified for DNA Testing (DNA ON FILE)	Arrest Offense Qualified for DNA Testing (DNA NOT ON FILE)	Arrest Offense Qualified for DNA Testing (DNA ON FILE)	Arrest Offense Qualified for DNA Testing (DNA NOT ON FILE)	Arrest Offense Qualified for DNA Testing (DNA ON FILE)
Allegany County	10	1	14	3	5	0
Anne Arundel County	54	15	85	6	72	17
Baltimore City Central Booking	109	50	84	36	87	37
Baltimore County	161	54	129	45	141	46
Calvert County	11	3	8	3	4	3
Card Scan (Manual Submission)	31	38	53	46	68	39
Caroline County	2	1	10	1	0	1
Carroll County	19	10	12	10	8	4
Cecil County	3	2	8	1	1	0
Charles County	12	0	10	4	14	2
Dorchester County	7	0	5	1	9	0
Frederick County	14	1	17	0	7	2
Garrett County	8	1	7	0	6	0
Hartford County	12	0	5	3	7	2
Howard County	19	1	15	2	11	2
Kent County	3	0	0	1	1	0
MRDCC Ident	61	6	64	4	47	3
Maryland Correctional Institution for Women	175	38	165	33	131	27
Montgomery County	2	0	3	0	1	0
Prince George County	0	0	0	0	0	0
Queen Anne County	17	1	10	1	4	0
St. Mary's County	2	1	6	0	4	4
Washington County	8	2	13	4	7	2
Wicomico County	13	1	14	2	14	2
Worcester County	17	0	18	1	6	0
	<b>770</b>	<b>226</b>	<b>755</b>	<b>207</b>	<b>655</b>	<b>193</b>
<b>Totals</b>	<b>996</b>		<b>962</b>		<b>848</b>	



**STATESTAT  
DPCS: CRIMINAL INJURIES COMPENSATION BOARD  
REPORTING PERIOD: SEPTEMBER 2009**

	Monthly Reporting				YTD FY10		Yearly Reporting Periods			
	Jun-09	Jul-09	Aug-09	Sep-09	AVG	% Change	FY06	FY07	FY08	FY09
New Claims Filed	157	160	130	140	143	8%	1,582	1,585	1,549	1,247
Claims Reviewed - Deemed Incomplete	8	12	8	18	13	125%	63	85	97	106
Claims Reviewed & Subsequently Rejected	63	84	87	76	82	-13%				547
Claims Awaiting Action (Pending)										
Number of Payments	265	239	253	279	257	10%	2,520	2,289	2,478	1,974
Number of Decisions	171	137	121	120	126	-1%	1,576	1,554	1,537	1,674
Number of Claims Awards Made	113	100	97	97	98	0%	824	739	757	787
	<b>Jun-09</b>	<b>Jul-09</b>	<b>Aug-09</b>	<b>Sep-09</b>	<b>AVG</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>FY06</b>	<b>FY07</b>	<b>FY08</b>	<b>FY09</b>
Average Number of Days to Process a Claim	162	231	167	195	198	-28%	192	175	143	157.7
% Claims Processed Within:	<b>Jun-09</b>	<b>Jul-09</b>	<b>Aug-09</b>	<b>Sep-09</b>	<b>AVG</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>FY06</b>	<b>FY07</b>	<b>FY08</b>	<b>FY09</b>
90 days	29%	18%	39	25	2139%	21567%	17%	22%	28%	31%
120 days	44%	27%	54	33	2909%	19900%	29%	34%	34%	44%
180 days	60%	53%	70	58	4284%	13108%	54%	60%	67%	63%
Number of Claims Processed Within:	<b>Jun-09</b>	<b>Jul-09</b>	<b>Aug-09</b>	<b>Sep-09</b>	<b>AVG</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>FY06</b>	<b>FY07</b>	<b>FY08</b>	<b>FY09</b>
90 days	47	25	47	30	34	88%	350	391	357	355
120 days	72	37	65	40	47	76%	563	604	557	500
180 days	99	73	85	70	76	16%	889	861	834	710



**STATESTAT**  
**DPPCS: DPP - (CUMULATIVE) OPEN PROBATION WARRANTS**  
**REPORTING PERIOD: AUGUST 2009**

<b>CUMULATIVE</b>								
<b>Open PROBATION Warrants by Jurisdiction</b>								
<b>8/31/2009*</b>					<b>7/31/2009<sup>1</sup></b>			
<b>Jurisdiction</b>	<b>PRO</b>	<b>Served</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Remain Open</b>	<b>PRO</b>	<b>Served</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Remain Open</b>
Allegany	0	0	n/a	0	3	3	100%	0
Anne Arundel	13	12	92%	1	37	20	54%	17
Baltimore City	150	84	56%	66	363	153	42%	210
Baltimore*	30	20	67%	10	41	19	46%	22
Calvert	4	1	25%	3	9	3	33%	6
Caroline	0	0	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	0
Carroll	4	3	75%	1	2	1	50%	1
Cecil	1	1	100%	0	5	5	100%	0
Charles	7	3	43%	4	12	6	50%	6
Dorchester	6	4	67%	2	4	1	25%	3
Frederick	4	2	50%	2	7	3	43%	4
Garrett	1	0	0%	1	0	0	n/a	0
Harford	3	3	100%	0	10	5	50%	5
Howard	6	0	0%	6	4	1	25%	3
Kent	3	1	33%	2	15	8	53%	7
Montgomery	11	4	36%	7	18	10	56%	8
Prince George's	42	24	57%	18	73	23	32%	50
Queen Anne's	0	0	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	0
Saint Mary's	1	0	0%	1	9	4	44%	5
Somerset	1	1	100%	0	1	0	0%	1
Talbot	0	0	n/a	0	1	1	100%	0
Washington	3	1	33%	2	6	4	67%	2
Wicomico	9	2	22%	7	8	4	50%	4
Worchester	0	0	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>299</b>	<b>166</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>628</b>	<b>274</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>354</b>

\*Baltimore County VPI figures are in with Baltimore City.

<sup>1</sup> Data Synchronization for Baltimore City.



**STATESTAT  
DPSCS: DPP - OPEN VPI PROBATION WARRANTS  
REPORTING PERIOD: JUNE 2009**

Open VPI PROBATION Warrants by Jurisdiction									
6/30/2009*					5/31/2009*				
Jurisdiction	PRO	Served	% Closed	Remain Open	Jurisdiction	PRO	Served	% Closed	Remain Open
Allegany	0	0	N/A	0	Allegany	0	0	N/A	0
Anne Arundel	20	8	40%	12	Anne Arundel	23	12	52%	11
Baltimore City*	143	69	48%	74	Baltimore City*	146	80	55%	66
Calvert	1	0	0%	1	Calvert	1	0	0%	1
Caroline	1	1	100%	0	Caroline	2	1	50%	1
Carroll	3	3	100%	0	Carroll	5	5	100%	0
Cecil	3	1	33%	2	Cecil	2	1	50%	1
Charles	10	3	30%	7	Charles	10	3	30%	7
Dorchester	1	1	100%	0	Dorchester	1	0	0%	1
Frederick	3	3	100%	0	Frederick	1	0	0%	1
Garrett	0	0	N/A	0	Garrett	0	0	N/A	0
Harford	7	1	14%	6	Harford	4	1	25%	3
Howard	2	2	100%	0	Howard	0	0	N/A	0
Kent	1	1	100%	0	Kent	1	0	0%	1
Montgomery*	13	2	15%	11	Montgomery*	14	8	57%	6
Prince George's*	32	17	53%	15	Prince George's*	29	11	38%	18
Queen Anne's	0	0	N/A	0	Queen Anne's	0	0	N/A	0
Saint Mary's	4	0	0%	4	Saint Mary's	4	1	25%	3
Somerset	0	0	N/A	0	Somerset	1	1	100%	0
Talbot	1	1	100%	0	Talbot	2	2	100%	0
Washington	2	0	0%	2	Washington	5	3	60%	2

Wicomico	9	3	33%	6	Wicomico	9	2	22%	7
Worcester	0	0	N/A	0	Worcester	0	0	N/A	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>256</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>260</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>129</b>

\*Baltimore County VPI figures are in with Baltimore City.



**STATESTAT**  
**DPPCS: DPP - OPEN NON VPI PROBATION WARRANTS**  
**REPORTING PERIOD: JUNE 2009**

Open NON-VPI PROBATION Warrants by Jurisdiction									
6/30/2009					5/31/2009				
Jurisdiction	PRO	Served	% Closed	Remain Open	Jurisdiction	PRO	Served	% Closed	Remain Open
Allegany	2	0	0%	2	Allegany	3	1	33%	2
Anne Arundel	23	6	26%	17	Anne Arundel	18	8	44%	10
Baltimore City*	276	92	33%	184	Baltimore City*	275	72	26%	203
Baltimore*	25	10	40%	15	Baltimore*	25	8	32%	17
Calvert	6	0	0%	6	Calvert	5	0	0%	5
Caroline	0	0	N/A	0	Caroline	0	0	N/A	0
Carroll	2	1	50%	1	Carroll	2	0	0%	2
Cecil	2	1	50%	1	Cecil	1	1	100%	0
Charles	3	0	0%	3	Charles	3	1	33%	2
Dorchester	3	0	0%	3	Dorchester	3	0	0%	3
Frederick	5	0	0%	5	Frederick	6	1	17%	5
Garrett	0	0	N/A	0	Garrett	0	0	N/A	0
Harford	4	3	75%	1	Harford	1	1	100%	0
Howard	2	1	50%	1	Howard	2	2	100%	0
Kent	1	0	0%	1	Kent	1	0	0%	1
Montgomery*	8	5	63%	3	Montgomery*	6	4	67%	2
Prince George's*	62	21	34%	41	Prince George's*	60	19	32%	41
Queen Anne's	0	0	N/A	0	Queen Anne's	1	1	100%	0
Saint Mary's	4	0	0%	4	Saint Mary's	3	0	0%	3
Somerset	2	1	50%	1	Somerset	2	0	0%	2
Talbot	0	0	N/A	0	Talbot	0	0	N/A	0

Washington	1	0	0%	1	Washington	2	1	50%	1
Wicomico	4	1	25%	3	Wicomico	7	6	86%	1
Worcester	1	0	0%	1	Worcester	2	0	0%	2
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>436</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>294</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>428</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>302</b>

\*Beginning in October 2008 this chart does not include VPI cases



**STATESTAT**  
**DPPCS: DPP - CUMULATIVE OPEN PAROLE WARRANTS**  
**REPORTING PERIOD: AUGUST 2009**

Court Location	Aug-09			Jul-09		
	Warrants Issued	Warrants Cleared	Warrants Open	Warrants Issued	Warrants Cleared	Warrants Open
ALLEGANY	0	2		2	1	
ANNE ARUNDEL	2	2		2	1	
BALTIMORE CITY	47	44		27	37	
BALTIMORE COUNTY	8	4		1	5	
CALVERT	0	2		0	1	
CAROLINE	0	1		0	0	
CARROLL	4	1		1	0	
CECIL	2	2		2	0	
CHARLES	2	2		2	1	
DORCHESTER	1	1		0	0	
FREDRICK	3	4		2	3	
GARRETT	0	1		1	0	
HARFORD	3	2		3	0	
HOWARD	0	0		0	0	
KENT	1	2		1	2	
MONTGOMERY	2	0		1	2	
OUT OF STATE	0	0		0	0	
PRINCE GEORGE'S	4	2		3	4	
QUEEN ANNE	1	2		0	0	
SOMERSET	1	2		0	0	
ST.MARY	2	0		0	2	
TALBOT	1	1		1	0	
WASHINGTON	4	4		1	1	
WICOMICO	7	7		2	3	
WORCESTER	3	2		1	1	
<b>Total</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>90</b>		<b>53</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>0</b>



**STATESTAT**  
**DPCS: DPP - OPEN VPI PAROLE WARRANTS**  
**REPORTING PERIOD: AUGUST 2009**

Court Location	Aug-09			Jul-09		
	Warrants Issued	Warrants Cleared	Warrants Open	Warrants Issued	Warrants Cleared	Warrants Open
ALLEGANY	0	1		1	0	
ANNE ARUNDEL	1	0		0	0	
BALTIMORE CITY	14	15		17	15	
BALTIMORE COUNTY	2	1		1	2	
CALVERT	0	1		0	0	
CAROLINE	0	0		0	0	
CARROLL	1	0		0	0	
CECIL	0	0		0	0	
CHARLES	0	1		1	0	
DORCHESTER	1	1		0	0	
FREDRICK	0	0		1	0	
GARRETT	0	0		0	0	
HARFORD	0	0		1	0	
HOWARD	0	0		0	0	
KENT	0	0		1	1	
MONTGOMERY	1	0		0	1	
PRINCE GEORGE'S	1	1		2	2	
QUEEN ANNE	0	0		0	0	
SOMERSET	1	0		0	0	
ST.MARY	0	0		0	0	
TALBOT	0	0		1	0	
WASHINGTON	2	1		0	0	
WICOMICO	3	2		0	1	
WORCESTER	1	0		1	1	



**STATESTAT**  
**DPPCS: DPP - CUMULATIVE OPEN MANDATORY WARRANTS**  
**REPORTING PERIOD: AUGUST 2009**

Court Location	Aug-09			Jul-09		
	Warrants Issued	Warrants Cleared	Warrants Open	Warrants Issued	Warrants Cleared	Warrants Open
ALLEGANY	2	2		0	4	
ANNE ARUNDEL	9	5		8	6	
BALTIMORE CITY	89	84		75	77	
BALTIMORE COUNTY	15	12		16	21	
CALVERT	1	2		1	2	
CAROLINE	0	2		1	1	
CARROLL	3	3		2	0	
CECIL	2	2		2	2	
CHARLES	2	2		3	0	
DORCHESTER	4	2		1	0	
FREDRICK	2	4		1	0	
GARRETT	0	0		0	0	
HARFORD	4	4		4	0	
HOWARD	3	2		2	2	
KENT	0	0		0	2	
MONTGOMERY	1	2		0	4	
PRINCE GEORGE	7	6		8	6	
QUEEN ANNE	2	0		0	1	
SOMERSET	0	0		0	1	
ST.MARY	0	2		0	1	
TALBOT	1	1		2	1	
WASHINGTON	3	4		4	3	
WICOMICO	6	6		5	2	
WORCESTER	1	1		0	2	
<b>Total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>0</b>



**STATESTAT  
DPPCS: DPP - OPEN VPI MANDATORY WARRANTS  
REPORTING PERIOD: AUGUST 2009**

Court Location	Aug-09			Jul-09		
	Warrants Issued	Warrants Cleared	Warrants Open	Warrants Issued	Warrants Cleared	Warrants Open
ALLEGANY	1	1		0	1	
ANNE ARUNDEL	3	2		5	4	
BALTIMORE CITY	49	39		50	44	
BALTIMORE COUNTY	2	2		6	5	
CALVERT	0	1		1	0	
CAROLINE	0	0		0	0	
CARROLL	0	1		2	0	
CECIL	0	0		0	0	
CHARLES	1	0		0	0	
DORCHESTER	0	0		0	0	
FREDRICK	0	0		0	0	
GARRETT	0	0		0	0	
HARFORD	1	2		1	0	
HOWARD	3	1		1	2	
KENT	0	0		0	1	
MONTGOMERY	0	0		0	0	
PRINCE GEORGE	1	2		3	3	
QUEEN ANNE	0	0		0	0	
SOMERSET	0	0		0	0	
ST.MARY	0	0		0	0	
TALBOT	0	1		1	0	
WASHINGTON	1	1		0	0	
WICOMICO	0	0		1	0	
WORCESTER	0	0		0	0	
<b>Total</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>0</b>



**STATESTAT**  
**DPSCS: DPP - OPEN NON-VPI MANDATORY WARRANTS**  
**REPORTING PERIOD: AUGUST 2009**

Court Location	Aug-09			Jul-09		
	Warrants Issued	Warrants Cleared	Warrants Open	Warrants Issued	Warrants Cleared	Warrants Open
ALLEGANY	1	1		0	3	
ANNE ARUNDEL	6	3		3	2	
BALTIMORE CITY	40	45		25	33	
BALTIMORE COUNTY	13	10		10	16	
CALVERT	1	1		0	2	
CAROLINE	0	2		1	1	
CARROLL	3	2		0	0	
CECIL	2	2		2	2	
CHARLES	1	2		3	0	
DORCHESTER	4	2		1	0	
FREDRICK	2	4		1	0	
GARRETT	0	0		0	0	
HARFORD	3	2		3	0	
HOWARD	0	1		1	0	
KENT	0	0		0	1	
MONTGOMERY	1	2		0	4	
PRINCE GEORGE	6	4		5	3	
QUEEN ANNE	2	0		0	1	
SOMERSET	0	0		0	1	
ST.MARY	0	2		0	1	
TALBOT	1	0		1	1	
WASHINGTON	2	3		4	3	
WICOMICO	6	6		4	2	
WORCESTER	1	1		0	2	
<b>Total</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>0</b>