

Volume 4, Number 1



**STATESTAT  
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY  
AND CORRECTIONAL SERVICES  
(DPSCS)  
SESSION II - MBE, DPP, DPDS, HR, ITCD**



**Secretary Gary D. Maynard**

**Assistant Secretary for Capital Programs: Assistant Secretary David N. Bezanson**

MBE Summary

**Division of Pretrial Detention and Services: Commissioner Howard Ray Jr.**

Staffing Summary & Offender Population

Sick Leave

IWIF

OT Detail

Safety Summary

**Division of Parole and Probation: Director Patrick McGee**

DPP Definitions

Summary

DPP Intel

VPI Reports

Personnel

DPP Intel & VPI Report

VPI Contacts

Containment Arrests

Cumulative VPI Warrants

VPI Probation Warrants

Non-VPI Probation Warrants

Cumulative Parole Warrants

VPI Parole Warrants

Non VPI Parole Warrants

Cumulative Mandatory Warrants

VPI Mandatory Warrants

Non VPI Mandatory Warrants

MD Offenders Arrested in DC

MD Offenders Arrested in VA

DNA Summary by Jurisdiction

**Information Technology & Communication Division: Chief Information Officer - Ronald C. Brothers**

Arrest Offenses Qualifying for DNA Collection

**Criminal Injuries Compensation Board: Executive Director - Robin Woolford**



## Department of Public Safety & Correctional Services StateStat

Reporting Period: May 2009

**Management Team**

Administration: G. Lawrence Franklin  
 Capital Programs: David N. Bezanson  
 Chief of Staff: Thomasina Hiers

**Gary D. Maynard, Secretary**

Appointed January 2007

**MBE CONTRACT ACTIVITY**

May-09

Goal Achieved: **20.10%**

# Waivers: **0**

Goal Achieved: **27.75%**

# Waivers: **0**

PROCUREMENT/CONTRACT AWARDS	CURRENTLY AVAILABLE PERIOD (May 2009)						YEAR-TO-DATE					
	Agency Total	Ethnic	Women	Disabled / Non-Profit / Sheltered Workshop	Total MBE/WBE	%	Agency Total	Ethnic	Women	Disabled / Non-Profit / Sheltered Workshop	Total MBE/WBE	%
<b>Payment Totals</b>	\$26,714,616.61	\$1,605,124.93 6.01%	\$3,138,549.03 11.75%	\$290,855.41 1.09%	\$5,034,529.37	18.85%	\$219,591,465.64	\$18,056,344.67 8%	\$31,281,330.22 14%	\$2,421,661.74 1%	\$51,759,336.63	23.57%
<b>Awards Totals</b>	\$1,874,911.99	\$187,995.00 10.03%	\$115,679.16 6.17%	\$73,139.75 3.90%	\$376,813.91	20.10%	\$220,486,581.47	\$25,934,916.89 11.76%	\$34,469,468.58 15.63%	\$787,076.33 0.36%	\$61,191,461.80	27.75%
Architectural and Engineering	\$94,758.00	\$49,900.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$49,900.00	52.66%	\$251,525.00	\$49,900.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$49,900.00	19.84%
Construction	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$1,749,095.00	\$241,377.13	\$42,082.00	\$0.00	\$283,459.13	16.21%
Construction Related	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$626,082.00	\$626,082.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$626,082.00	100.00%
Maintenance	\$190,409.00	\$98,306.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$98,306.00	51.63%	\$1,734,240.00	\$127,841.00	\$89,578.00	\$0.00	\$217,419.00	12.54%
Services	\$206,001.16	\$0.00	\$11,831.77	\$40,910.25	\$52,742.02	25.60%	\$162,600,743.37	\$21,834,636.63	\$28,834,446.82	\$296,681.10	\$50,965,764.55	31.34%
Supplies and Equipment	\$428,320.00	\$0.00	\$52,794.00	\$4,463.00	\$57,257.00	13.37%	\$10,755,533.00	\$56,130.00	\$665,026.00	\$175,499.00	\$896,655.00	8.34%
IT Services	\$118,637.00	\$30,043.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$30,043.00	25.32%	\$29,502,161.35	\$2,236,887.19	\$3,757,259.10	\$0.00	\$5,994,146.29	20.32%
IT Supplies and Equipment	\$7,006.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	\$2,118,650.00	\$572,721.00	\$17,575.00	\$0.00	\$590,296.00	27.86%
Human, Cultural, Social & Educational	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$3,925.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
Corporate Credit Card	\$786,247.00	\$9,746.00	\$49,303.00	\$27,604.00	\$86,653.00	11.02%	\$9,681,410.00	\$147,675.00	\$827,974.00	\$226,339.00	\$1,201,988.00	12.42%
Direct Vouchers	\$43,533.83	\$0.00	\$1,750.39	\$162.50	\$1,912.89	4.39%	\$1,463,216.75	\$41,666.94	\$235,527.66	\$88,557.23	\$365,751.83	25.00%

SBR INDICATORS	Agency Total	Total SBR	# Designated Procurements	%	Agency Total	Total SBR	# Designated Procurements	%
<b>Payment Totals</b>	\$37,524,447	\$610,616	391	1.63%	\$244,737,563	\$7,684,410	4174	3.14%

STATESTAT  
 DPSCS: SUMMARY - DPDS  
 REPORTING PERIOD: MAY 2009

**SUMMARY STAFFING AND OFFENDER POPULATION INFORMATION - DPDS**

Department-wide	Monthly Reporting Periods				YTD		Yearly Reporting Periods			
Hiring Statistics Department-wide	Feb-09	Mar-09	Apr-09	May-09	CY YTD AVG	CY TOTAL	CY2005	CY2006	CY2007	CY2008
CO-I Interviewed	393	428	412	365	400	2,002	2,568	3,807	3,577	4,118
CO-I Tested	728	718	677	638	711	3,557	3,036	5,757	5,297	7,277
BCDC	Monthly Reporting Periods				FY YTD		Yearly Reporting Periods			
BCDC Staffing and Population	Feb-09	Mar-09	Apr-09	May-09	Average	% Change	FY2006	FY2007	FY2008	FY2009
Authorized PINs CO I-II-III	688	682	682	682	686	0.0%	670	687	686	
Vacant PINs CO I-II-III	16	15	13	10	18	-23.1%	30	35	23	
Total Inmates	2,498	2,614	2,580	2,672	2,688	3.6%				
Hiring Statistics	Feb-09	Mar-09	Apr-09	May-09	CYTD AVG	CY TOTAL	CY2005	CY2006	CY2007	CY2008
CO-I Hired	4	4	9	9	6	32	120	145	142	106
Attrition	Feb-09	Mar-09	Apr-09	May-09	FYTD AVG	FY TOTAL	FY2006	FY2007	FY2008	FY2009
Attrition	1	5	7	6	6	62	99	139	92	
Staff Terminated	Feb-09	Mar-09	Apr-09	May-09	CYTD AVG	CY TOTAL	CY2005	CY2006	CY2007	CY2008
CO-I	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CO-II	0	1	0	1	1	4	2	1	6	7
CO-III	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	1
CO-IV, CO-V & CO-VI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Total	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	2	8	9
Staffing Needs	Feb-09	Mar-09	Apr-09	May-09	FYTD AVG		FY2006	FY2007	FY2008	FY2009
New, never-filled CO PINs	0	0	0	0	0		n/a	11	0	
Total CO I-II-III Vacancies	16	15	13	10	18		30	35	23	
Total Vacancies-All Classifications	33	20	18	14	31		65	62	48	
Total PINs-All Classifications	871	858	858	858	867		864	878	873	
CBIF	Monthly Reporting Periods				FY YTD		Yearly Reporting Periods			
CBIF Staffing and Population	Feb-09	Mar-09	Apr-09	May-09	Average	% Change	FY2006	FY2007	FY2008	FY2009
Authorized PINs CO I-II-III	413	408	408	408	412	0.0%	368	399	411	
Vacant PINs CO I-II-III	7	7	10	5	11	-50.0%	19	26	13	
Total Inmates	910	910	946	931	944	-1.6%				
Hiring Statistics	Feb-09	Mar-09	Apr-09	May-09	CY YTD AVG	CY TOTAL	CY2005	CY2006	CY2007	CY2008
CO-I Hired	2	2	3	8	4	18	92	62	88	56
Attrition	Feb-09	Mar-09	Apr-09	May-09	FY YTD AVG	FY TOTAL	FY2006	FY2007	FY2008	FY2009
Attrition	1	3	2	3	3	36	61	43	44	
Staff Terminated	Feb-09	Mar-09	Apr-09	May-09	CY YTD AVG	CY TOTAL	CY2005	CY2006	CY2007	CY2008
CO-I	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
CO-II	1	0	1	1	1	3	7	1	1	5
CO-III	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CO-IV, CO-V & CO-VI	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Total	1	0	0	0	0	1	8	1	1	6
Staffing Needs	Feb-09	Mar-09	Apr-09	May-09	FYTD AVG		FY2006	FY2007	FY2008	FY2009
New, never-filled CO PINs	0	0	0	0	0		0		0	
Total CO I-II-III Vacancies	7	7	10	5	11		19		13	
Total Vacancies-All Classifications	31	15	14	9	29		35		33	
Total PINs-All Classifications	579	561	561	561	575		544		581	



STATESTAT  
 DPSCS: DPDS - SICK LEAVE and OT DETAIL  
 REPORTING PERIOD: JUNE 2009

BCDC SICK LEAVE	Bi-Weekly Reporting Periods - Pay				3rd & 4th Period		Yearly Reporting Periods	
	Period Ending Date				% Chg	CY Periods	CY07	CY08
	05/05/09	05/19/09	06/02/09	06/16/09				
Hours	3,117	3,647	3,225	3,264	1%	12		85,269

CBIF SICK LEAVE	Bi-Weekly Reporting Periods - Pay				3rd & 4th Period		Yearly Reporting Periods	
	Period Ending Date				% Chg	CY Periods	CY07	CY08
	05/05/09	05/19/09	06/02/09	06/16/09				
Hours	2,032	2,054	1,754	1,753	0%	12		51,567

BCDC										
TOTAL OVERTIME	Bi-Weekly Reporting Periods - Pay				YTD FY09		Yearly Reporting Periods			
	Period Ending Date				YTD AVG	TOTAL	FY06 Total	FY07 Total	FY08 Total	FY09 Projected
	05/05/09	05/19/09	06/02/09	06/16/09						
Dollars	\$157,454	\$155,597	\$181,438	\$231,564	\$188,960	\$4,679,555	\$6,050,919	\$7,789,874	\$6,418,825	\$4,869,088
Staff Days	616	608	713	749	735	19,112	27,853	32,825	25,925	
Secondary Medical	559	353	737	284	318	8,279				
SAP	845	821	869	878	936	24,340				

CBIF										
TOTAL OVERTIME	Bi-Weekly Reporting Periods - Pay				YTD FY09		Yearly Reporting Periods			
	Period Ending Date				YTD AVG	TOTAL	FY06 Total	FY07 Total	FY08 Total	FY09 Projected
	05/05/09	05/19/09	06/02/09	06/16/09						
Dollars	\$115,511	\$115,702	\$133,945	\$133,124	\$115,794	\$2,918,094	\$2,706,235	\$2,148,140	\$1,481,233	\$3,045,684
Staff Days	457	457	529	499	458	11,903	13,174	9,208	5,927	
Secondary Medical	887	1,266	1,108	1,560	1,133	28,336				
SAP	533	563	539	540	553	14,378				



**STATESTAT  
DPCS: SUMMARY - IWIF  
REPORTING PERIOD: MAY 2009**

	Monthly Reporting				Yearly Reporting				
	Feb-09	Mar-09	Apr-09	May-09	FY09 YTD Total	FY2007	FY2008	YTD FY09 MAX	YTD FY09 MIN
<b>IWIF REPORTS - BCDC</b>									
FROI to IWIF	15	15	28	10	167	178	176	28	10
FROI LAG > 3 DAYS	1	1	2	2	8	15	14	2	0
EMPLOYEES > 3 REPORTS	1	-	2	1	4	6	7	2	0
<b>WRI REPORTS-BCDC</b>									
Total Incidents Reported to IWIF	15	15	28	10	167	178	176	28	10
Incidents with Lost Hours	7	5	2	3	47	76	61	7	2
Accident Leave Hours	528	608	360	80	5,432	17,412	10,436	920	56
Accident Leave Paid	\$ 7,389	\$ 8,340	\$ 4,535	\$ 983	\$73,296	\$238,619	\$135,934	\$ 11,878	\$ 723

	Feb-09	Mar-09	Apr-09	May-09	FY09 YTD Total	FY2007	FY2008	YTD FY09 MAX	YTD FY09 MIN
	<b>IWIF REPORTS - CBIF</b>								
FROI to IWIF	8	2	15	19	123	108	98	21	2
FROI LAG > 3 DAYS	1	-	-	-	7	7	13	3	0
EMPLOYEES > 3 REPORTS	-	-	1	1	4	2	0	1	0
<b>WRI REPORTS-CBIF</b>									
Total Incidents Reported to IWIF	8	2	15	19	123	108	98	21	2
Incidents with Lost Hours	1	1	6	11	39	52	41	11	1
Accident Leave Hours	84	216	367	584	2,622	8,478	3,786	584	40
Accident Leave Paid	\$ 1,124	\$ 2,930	\$ 5,204	\$ 9,025	\$38,609	\$112,216	\$49,832	9,025	1,124



**STATESTAT**  
**DPSCS: DPDS: SAFETY SUMMARY**  
**REPORTING PERIOD: MAY 2009**

<b>DPDS - BCDC</b>	<b>Monthly Reporting Periods</b>				<b>YTD FY09</b>		<b>Yearly Reporting Periods</b>		
<b>FIRM Assaults on Staff</b>	<b>Feb-09</b>	<b>Mar-09</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>FY2006</b>	<b>FY2007</b>	<b>FY2008</b>
Serious Physical Assault	-	-	-	-	0.0	n/a	7	2	2
Serious Weapon Assault	-	-	-	-	0.0	n/a	-	-	-
Sexual Assault	-	-	-	-	0.0	n/a	-	-	-
Less Serious Physical Assault	-	1	-	1	1.3	n/a	23	32	19
Less Serious Weapon Assault	-	-	1	-	0.2	-100.0%	2	2	1
Bodily Fluid Assault	-	2	6	2	1.6	-66.7%	3	20	24
Inappropriate Physical Contact	-	-	1	-	0.1	-100.0%	-	1	2
<b>Total</b>	-	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>-62.5%</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>Staff Homicide</b>	-	-	-	-	0.0	n/a	-	-	-
<b>FIRM Assaults on Inmates</b>	<b>Feb-09</b>	<b>Mar-09</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>FY2006</b>	<b>FY2007</b>	<b>FY2008</b>
Serious Physical Assault	-	-	1	-	0.5	-100.0%	11	9	9
Serious Weapon Assault	3	2	-	1	1.3	n/a	33	12	16
Sexual Assault	-	-	-	-	0.1	n/a	-	4	1
Less Serious Physical Assault	21	19	11	25	18.5	127.3%	225	250	247
Less Serious Weapon Assault	1	3	1	1	2.1	0.0%	31	68	41
Bodily Fluid Assault	-	-	-	1	0.2	n/a	1	5	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>22.5</b>	<b>115.4%</b>	<b>301</b>	<b>348</b>	<b>315</b>
<b>Inmate Homicide</b>	-	-	1	-	0.1	-100.0%	1	1	4
<b>Contraband</b>	<b>Monthly Reporting Periods</b>				<b>YTD FY09</b>		<b>Yearly Reporting Periods</b>		
<b>Contraband</b>	<b>Feb-09</b>	<b>Mar-09</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>FY2006</b>	<b>FY2007</b>	<b>FY2008</b>
Cell phones found	36	67	26	38	42.9	46.2%	112	60	331
Cell phone accessories found	16	38	22	34	27.2	54.5%	23	41	199
Homemade weapons found	51	137	23	44	52.9	91.3%	722	550	608
Factory made weapons found	-	-	2	8	2.3	300.0%	-	-	-
Tobacco finds	8	84	6	32	27.3	433.3%	28	49	151
CDS finds	2	18	39	36	18.4	-7.7%	38	13	99
Money finds	1	1	2	2	0.6	0.0%	-	-	-
<b>Other BCDC Security Data</b>	<b>Feb-09</b>	<b>Mar-09</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>FY2006</b>	<b>FY2007</b>	<b>FY2008</b>
Escapes	-	-	-	-	0.0	n/a	1	0	0
Walk-Offs	-	-	-	-	0.3	n/a	1	-	1
Erroneous releases	-	-	-	-	0.3	n/a	-	1	3



**STATESTAT**  
**DPSCS: DPDS: SAFETY SUMMARY**  
**REPORTING PERIOD: MAY 2009**

DPDS - CBIF	Monthly Reporting Periods				YTD FY09		Yearly Reporting Periods		
	Feb-09	Mar-09	Apr-09	May-09	Average	% Change	FY2006	FY2007	FY2008
<b>FIRM Assaults on Staff</b>									
Serious Physical Assault	-	-	1	-	0.1	-100.0%	-	2	-
Serious Weapon Assault	-	-	-	-	0.0	n/a	-	-	-
Sexual Assault	-	-	-	-	0.0	n/a	-	-	-
Less Serious Physical Assault	1	-	3	2	1.0	-33.3%	9	11	12
Less Serious Weapon Assault	-	-	-	-	0.0	n/a	-	1	1
Bodily Fluid Assault	-	2	1	1	0.5	0.0%	4	3	13
Inappropriate Physical Contact	-	-	-	-	0.1	n/a	2	3	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>-40.0%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>Staff Homicide</b>	-	-	-	-	0.0	n/a	-	-	-
<b>FIRM Assaults on Inmates</b>									
Serious Physical Assault	3	-	-	-	1.1	n/a	6	6	5
Serious Weapon Assault	-	-	-	1	0.3	n/a	2	2	5
Sexual Assault	-	-	2	-	0.2	-100.0%	-	-	3
Less Serious Physical Assault	12	9	11	12	13.5	9.1%	162	162	167
Less Serious Weapon Assault	-	-	2	2	0.6	0.0%	12	15	10
Bodily Fluid Assault	1	-	-	-	0.1	n/a	1	1	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>15.7</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>186</b>	<b>191</b>
<b>Inmate Homicide</b>	-	-	-	-	0.0	n/a	-	-	-
	Monthly Reporting Periods				YTD FY09		Yearly Reporting Periods		
<b>Contraband</b>	Feb-09	Mar-09	Apr-09	May-09	Average	% Change	FY2006	FY2007	FY2008
Cell phones found	6	10	14	15	8.8	7.1%	11	15	63
Cell phone accessories found	7	4	2	6	3.4	200.0%	6	-	9
Homemade weapons found	5	9	7	25	6.2	257.1%	50	34	14
Factory made weapons found	-	-	1	1	0.5	0.0%	-	-	1
Tobacco finds	1	1	1	6	1.9	500.0%	-	4	21
CDS finds	-	-	-	7	0.8	n/a	26	20	14
Money finds	8	-	-	-	3.5	n/a	7	26	61
<b>Other CBIF Security Data</b>									
Escapes	-	-	-	-	0.0	n/a	2	-	-
Walk-Offs	-	-	-	-	0.0	n/a	-	-	-
Erroneous releases	-	-	-	-	0.5	n/a	-	-	-

## DPP DEFINITIONS

### **Volume 4, Number 1**

**Mandatory Release (MAN):** A non-discretionary release from prison required by law after a criminal offender has served his or her sentence, less diminution of confinement credits.

**Parole (PAR):** A discretionary, conditional release from criminal imprisonment granted by the Maryland Parole Commission.

**Probation (PRO):** A disposition under which a court defers imposition of a sentence or suspends the sentence and releases an individual under prescribed terms and rules for a specified period of time.

**Probation Before Judgment (PBJ):** A disposition under which a court defers imposition of a verdict but may require compliance with prescribed rules and terms for a specified period of time.

### **SUPERVISION LEVEL:**

**Violence Prevention Unit (VPU):** A supervision level for cases assigned to the Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI). VPI represents a strategy for the management of offenders who have been convicted of specified violent offenses and who, on the basis of a screening process, exhibit traits which have been linked through research to an increased potential for violent recidivism. Such individuals are diverted to a containment-based, intensive supervision strategy structured to minimize the threat they might otherwise represent to the community. This strategy involves the close monitoring and strict enforcement of an individual's compliance with all conditions of supervision, and immediate and decisive action in response to any instances of deliberate non-compliance.

**Intensive (INT):** A supervision level to which cases with a risk assessment score of fifteen or above are assigned. At this level, a significant number of risk factors for recidivism are present which generally require substantial agent activity in the form of informational and motivational interviewing, initiation and monitoring of referrals, and response to non-compliant behavior – specifically through a graduated sanctioning process that leads to greater compliance and more successful outcomes.

**Intermediate (IMD):** A supervision level to which cases with a risk assessment score above six and below fifteen are assigned. They are either offenders whose initial assessment indicated a lower level of risk to the community, or those whose performance at reassessment reflected successful efforts to address criminogenic factors, thus reducing the risk of recidivism. A change in classification from intensive to intermediate only occurs when it is agreed that the offender's adjustment to supervision merits the change, and that community safety is unlikely to be compromised by the change.

**Review (REV):** A supervision level to which all probation, parole, and mandatory release cases are initially assigned. A case may remain at this level for a maximum of sixty days, during which time essential records are gathered and reviewed, and a risk level is determined.

**Standard (STD):** A supervision level to which cases with a risk assessment score of six or lower are assigned. They are, for the most part, low-risk, low-impact, relatively stable offenders requiring minimal intervention on the part of an agent. For a offender classified as standard, an agent must ensure that the offender is instructed to complete all special conditions, to make all court-ordered payments as required, and to inform the agent immediately if he or she intends to change his or her residence or telephone number, or wishes to travel or relocate to another state.

### **CASELOAD TYPE:**

**Drug Court:** Drug Treatment Court provides District and Circuit Court judges in Baltimore City with a sentencing option other than incarceration for non-violent, substance-abusing offenders with a history of drug-related criminal episodes. The program is designed to intervene in the criminal lifestyle of participants, with a goal of interrupting the repetitive cycle of arrest-incarceration-release-re-arrest characteristic of these offenders. The approach involves a specialized, treatment-focused form of intensive probation supervision that can involve such modalities as inpatient therapy, intensive outpatient therapy, mandatory urinalysis, acupuncture, education, transitional housing, and "shock" incarceration. Offenders assigned to Drug Court remain in either Intensive or Intermediate level of supervision.

**Sex Offender:** An individual against whom an incident of sexual misbehavior or criminal behavior with sexual intent has been charged, which has resulted in some form of criminal justice intervention or sanction. For purposes of specialized, containment-model supervision, the Maryland Division of Parole and Probation classifies as sexual offenders individuals under current supervision for a sexual offense, individuals under supervision for a non-sexual offense who are required to register as a sexual offender as a result of a prior offense, and individuals identified during the course of supervision as appropriate for specialized management. Risk assessments are conducted on these offenders using specialized instruments, on the basis of which they are assigned to one of four supervision levels (LV1 through LV4).

### **KIOSK:**

A project designed to allow low-risk, non-violent offenders to use a kiosk – similar to an ATM machine – as their primary means of contact with a DPP agent. The supervision of lower-risk offenders through automated, less labor-intensive methods enables DPP to focus its resources more intensely on higher-risk offenders. Kiosks are now in operation in Baltimore City, Anne Arundel and Prince George's counties. Offenders assigned to KIOSK reporting may remain in any of the supervision levels.



**STATESTAT**  
**DPPCS: DIVISION OF PAROLE AND PROBATION: DPP SUMMARY**  
**REPORTING PERIOD: MAY 2009**

<b>DRUG TESTING</b>									
	<b>FY YEAR TO DATE</b>								
	<b>Feb-09</b>	<b>Mar-09</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Urine Samples Tested	21,838	24,349	23,570	24,201	2.7%	21,993	18,131	24,349	11
Positive Urine Samples	4,794	5,114	4,879	5,028	3.1%	4,506	3,524	5,114	11
Percentage Positive	22.0%	21.0%	21.0%	21.0%	0.0%	20.6%	20.6%	22.0%	11
<b>COLLECTIONS</b>									
	<b>Feb-09</b>	<b>Mar-09</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Collected	\$1,953,252	\$2,021,979	\$2,584,986	\$3,207,419	24.1%	2,005,347	1,307,929	3,207,419	11
Restitution Disbursed	\$638,724	\$528,466	\$772,864	\$745,247	-3.6%	546,069	218,868	772,864	11
Fines Disbursed	\$204,515	\$46,684	\$145,872	\$70,236	-51.9%	79,169	2,503	204,515	11
Costs Disbursed	\$210,281	\$50,861	\$119,382	\$81,465	-31.8%	75,641	1,235	210,281	11
Supervision Fee	\$620,115	\$539,887	\$1,002,024	\$1,103,432	10.1%	593,115	197,085	1,103,432	11
DDMP Program Fee	\$611,184	\$521,487	\$832,740	\$915,775	10.0%	577,950	215,755	915,775	11

<b>FY 2009 YEAR TO DATE</b>									
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>Feb-09</b>	<b>Mar-09</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	67,544	68,113	68,199	68,332	0.2%	69,533	67,544	71,181	11
Parole	4,181	4,182	4,176	4,243	1.6%	4,262	4,176	4,390	11
Mandatory	4,899	4,857	4,869	4,879	0.2%	4,967	4,766	5,158	11
Probation	45,034	45,347	45,329	45,213	-0.3%	46,346	45,034	47,462	11
PBJ	13,430	13,727	13,825	13,997	1.2%	13,937	13,430	14,176	11
DDMP	15,208	15,397	15,581	15,769	1.2%	15,602	15,208	15,769	11
Offenders Reporting to KIOSK - Baltimore City	1,283	1,325	1,341	1,330	-0.8%	1,173	768	1,341	11
Offenders Reporting to KIOSK - Prince George's County	933	921	949	874	-7.9%	601	0	1,007	11
Offenders Reporting to KIOSK - Anne Arundel County	815	811	830	833	0.4%	655	0	833	11
# of Supervision Agents	565	564	563	558	-0.9%	559	513	574	11
# of Supervision Agent Vacancies	40	42	44	52	18.2%	33	11	52	11
# of Monitors	78	78	78	77	-1.3%	49	0	78	11
# of Monitor Vacancies	18	18	18	10	-44.4%	11	0	18	11
Average Case per Supervision Agent	93	93	93	94	1.1%	60	0	98	11
Average Case per Monitor	195	197	200	205	2.5%	130	0	217	11

<b>Baltimore City</b>	<b>Feb-09</b>	<b>Mar-09</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	17,147	17,039	16,934	16,677	-1.5%	17,893	16,677	18,624	11
Parole	1,538	1,521	1,526	1,528	0.1%	1,562	1,521	1,648	11
Mandatory	2,315	2,290	2,299	2,293	-0.3%	2,343	2,285	2,485	11
Probation	11,451	11,359	11,229	11,021	-1.9%	11,956	11,021	12,512	11
PBJ	1,830	1,853	1,864	1,819	-2.4%	2,019	1,819	2,166	11
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	1,392	1,423	1,429	1,422	-0.5%	1,243	857	1,540	11
Sex Offender Cases	521	514	531	524	-1.3%	333	0	532	11
General Cases	15,234	15,103	14,974	14,731	-1.6%	10,000	0	16,803	11
# of Supervision Agents	228	228	227	226	-0.4%	146	0	237	11
# of VPI Caseloads	44	44	44	44	0.0%	26	0	44	11
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	16	16	16	16	0.0%	10	0	18	11
# of General Caseloads	168	168	167	166	-0.6%	109	0	185	11
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	32	32	32	32	0.0%	22	0	40	11
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	33	32	33	33	0.0%	21	0	34	11
Average # General Cases per Agent	91	90	90	89	-1.1%	58	0	98	11
<b>Allegany</b>	<b>Feb-09</b>	<b>Mar-09</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	587	611	612	612	0.0%	546	467	615	11
Parole	44	40	41	45	9.8%	40	33	47	11
Mandatory	60	58	54	60	11.1%	53	44	60	11
Probation	451	479	481	474	-1.5%	419	357	481	11
PBJ	24	24	26	26	0.0%	24	22	26	11
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	6	8	6	7	16.7%	4	0	8	11
Sex Offender Cases	57	56	55	56	1.8%	43	0	65	11
General Cases	524	547	551	549	-0.4%	325	0	551	11
# of Supervision Agents	7	7	7	7	0.0%	4	0	7	11
# of VPI Caseloads	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	11
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	2	2	2	2	0.0%	1	0	2	11
# of General Caseloads	5	5	5	5	0.0%	3	0	5	11
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	29	28	28	28	0.0%	19	0	32	11
Average # General Cases per Agent	93	83	81	82	1.2%	55	0	94	11
<b>Anne Arundel</b>	<b>Feb-09</b>	<b>Mar-09</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	4,262	4,342	4,401	4,412	0.2%	4,347	4,092	4,564	11
Parole	160	166	164	174	6.1%	164	154	174	11
Mandatory	202	201	209	217	3.8%	216	201	226	11
Probation	3,078	3,140	3,190	3,173	-0.5%	3,147	2,951	3,289	11
PBJ	815	827	832	841	1.1%	814	760	877	11
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	142	138	143	132	-7.7%	112	0	178	11
Sex Offender Cases	171	179	177	170	-4.0%	125	0	179	11
General Cases	3,949	4,025	4,081	4,110	0.7%	2,613	0	4,239	11
# of Supervision Agents	38	38	38	37	-2.6%	25	0	41	11
# of VPI Caseloads	7	5	5	5	0.0%	4	0	7	11
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	9	8	8	8	0.0%	5	0	9	11
# of General Caseloads	22	25	25	24	-4.0%	15	0	25	11
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	20	28	29	26	-10.3%	16	0	29	11
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	19	22	22	21	-4.5%	13	0	24	11
Average # General Cases per Agent	180	161	163	171	4.9%	108	0	180	11

<b>Baltimore</b>	<b>Feb-09</b>	<b>Mar-09</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	5,942	6,061	6,121	6,215	1.5%	6,294	5,942	6,579	11
Parole	424	434	442	467	5.7%	454	424	476	11
Mandatory	542	540	556	571	2.7%	579	540	617	11
Probation	3,971	4,058	4,105	4,146	1.0%	4,226	3,971	4,435	11
PBJ	993	1,013	1,000	1,013	1.3%	1,021	993	1,050	11
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	0	0	0	0	0.0%	92	0	349	11
Sex Offender Cases	254	264	258	269	4.3%	183	0	269	11
General Cases	5,688	5,797	5,863	5,946	1.4%	3,742	0	5,987	11
# of Supervision Agents	47	48	48	45	-6.3%	30	0	48	11
# of VPI Caseloads	n/a	n/a	0	0	0.0%	2	0	12	11
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	9	9	9	9	0.0%	6	0	9	11
# of General Caseloads	38	39	39	36	-7.7%	24	0	39	11
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	0	0	0	0	0.0%	5	0	32	
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	28	29	29	30	3.4%	18	0	31	11
Average # General Cases per Agent	150	149	150	165	10.0%	99	0	165	11
<b>Calvert</b>	<b>Feb-09</b>	<b>Mar-09</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	438	428	428	418	-2.3%	422	337	522	11
Parole	31	32	35	33	-5.7%	32	26	36	11
Mandatory	37	37	36	39	8.3%	39	36	44	11
Probation	345	335	331	321	-3.0%	329	253	422	11
PBJ	22	21	22	21	-4.5%	20	17	24	11
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	17	16	18	19	5.6%	12	0	19	11
Sex Offender Cases	41	44	42	43	2.4%	30	0	44	11
General Cases	389	368	368	356	-3.3%	232	0	389	11
# of Supervision Agents	4.5	4.5	5	4.5	0.0%	3	0	5	11
# of VPI Caseloads	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	1	1	1	1	0.0%	1	0	1	11
# of General Caseloads	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	0.0%	2	0	4	11
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	41	44	42	43	2.4%	24	0	44	11
Average # General Cases per Agent	111	105	105	102	-2.9%	70	0	150	11
<b>Caroline</b>	<b>Feb-09</b>	<b>Mar-09</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	428	441	450	453	0.7%	440	413	455	11
Parole	24	23	25	26	4.0%	30	23	41	11
Mandatory	24	26	25	24	-4.0%	24	20	33	11
Probation	301	312	314	319	1.6%	305	280	320	11
PBJ	78	79	85	83	-2.4%	80	69	85	11
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	6	9	8	9	12.5%	7	0	11	11
Sex Offender Cases	20	18	19	21	10.5%	15	0	24	11
General Cases	402	414	423	423	0.0%	265	0	423	11
# of Supervision Agents	6	6	6	6	0.0%	4	0	6	11
# of VPI Caseloads	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
# of General Caseloads	5	5	5	5	0.0%	3	0	5	11
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Average # General Cases per Agent	80	83	85	85	0.0%	53	0	85	11

<b>Carroll</b>	<b>Feb-09</b>	<b>Mar-09</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	1,314	1,339	1,325	1,313	-0.9%	1,318	1,253	1,370	11
Parole	77	79	78	74	-5.1%	75	55	85	11
Mandatory	60	64	67	60	-10.4%	58	51	67	11
Probation	886	893	874	871	-0.3%	877	836	921	11
PBJ	282	297	300	304	1.3%	297	282	306	11
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	13	11	11	9	-18.2%	9	0	13	11
Sex Offender Cases	62	66	68	68	0.0%	47	0	68	11
General Cases	1,239	1,262	1,246	1,236	-0.8%	803	0	1,293	11
# of Supervision Agents	12.5	12.5	12.5	12.5	0.0%	8	0	13	11
# of VPI Caseloads	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	2	2	2	2	0.0%	1	0	2	11
# of General Caseloads	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	0.0%	7	0	11	11
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	31	33	34	34	0.0%	20	0	34	11
Average # General Cases per Agent	118	120	119	118	-0.8%	77	0	129	11
<b>Cecil</b>	<b>Feb-09</b>	<b>Mar-09</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	849	843	869	900	3.6%	842	777	900	11
Parole	47	42	43	50	16.3%	46	40	54	11
Mandatory	58	53	49	52	6.1%	57	49	63	11
Probation	657	648	666	683	2.6%	644	596	683	11
PBJ	85	98	109	113	3.7%	92	81	113	11
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	9	9	10	10	0.0%	10	0	22	11
Sex Offender Cases	75	74	71	76	7.0%	54	0	77	11
General Cases	765	760	788	814	3.3%	494	0	814	11
# of Supervision Agents	10	10	10	10	0.0%	6	0	10	11
# of VPI Caseloads	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	0	0	11
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	3	3	3	3	0.0%	2	0	3	11
# of General Caseloads	7	7	7	7	0.0%	4	0	7	11
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	25	25	24	25	4.2%	17	0	35	11
Average # General Cases per Agent	109	109	113	116	2.7%	71	0	116	11
<b>Charles</b>	<b>Feb-09</b>	<b>Mar-09</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	1,544	1,542	1,508	1,472	-2.4%	1,507	1,244	1,642	11
Parole	182	185	183	178	-2.7%	181	162	191	11
Mandatory	144	146	144	140	-2.8%	139	116	149	11
Probation	1,170	1,158	1,130	1,101	-2.6%	1,137	918	1,252	11
PBJ	47	53	51	53	3.9%	49	38	55	11
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	42	45	41	39	-4.9%	33	0	56	11
Sex Offender Cases	82	84	85	83	-2.4%	61	0	89	11
General Cases	1,420	1,413	1,382	1,350	-2.3%	912	0	1,512	11
# of Supervision Agents	12	12	12	11	-8.3%	8	0	13	11
# of VPI Caseloads	2	2	2	2	0.0%	1	0	2	11
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	3	3	3	2	-33.3%	2	0	4	11
# of General Caseloads	7	7	7	7	0.0%	4	0	7	11
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	21	23	21	20	-4.8%	14	0	28	11
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	27	28	28	35	25.0%	18	0	35	11
Average # General Cases per Agent	203	202	197	193	-2.0%	130	0	216	11

<b>Dorchester</b>	<b>Feb-09</b>	<b>Mar-09</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	401	409	384	398	3.6%	408	384	440	11
Parole	47	52	49	50	2.0%	54	47	61	11
Mandatory	44	47	48	51	6.3%	45	40	51	11
Probation	269	266	245	254	3.7%	269	245	296	11
PBJ	33	37	36	36	0.0%	33	28	37	11
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	16	18	19	21	10.5%	12	0	21	11
Sex Offender Cases	28	25	25	25	0.0%	19	0	28	11
General Cases	357	366	340	352	3.5%	227	0	366	11
# of Supervision Agents	5	5	5	5	0.0%	3	0	5	11
# of VPI Caseloads	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
# of General Caseloads	5	5	5	5	0.0%	3	0	5	11
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Average # General Cases per Agent	71	73	68	70	2.9%	45	0	73	11
<b>Frederick</b>	<b>Feb-09</b>	<b>Mar-09</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	1,074	1,077	1,094	1,114	1.8%	1,119	993	1,593	11
Parole	91	92	91	88	-3.3%	98	88	145	11
Mandatory	37	35	42	45	7.1%	43	35	61	11
Probation	743	748	760	773	1.7%	776	695	1,114	11
PBJ	153	151	150	153	2.0%	149	121	228	11
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	36	24	28	27	-3.6%	24	0	40	11
Sex Offender Cases	80	77	74	83	12.2%	57	0	83	11
General Cases	958	976	992	1,004	1.2%	626	0	1,004	11
# of Supervision Agents	12	12	12	12	0.0%	7	0	12	11
# of VPI Caseloads	1	1	1	1	0.0%	1	0	1	11
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	3	3	3	3	0.0%	2	0	3	11
# of General Caseloads	8	8	8	8	0.0%	5	0	8	11
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	36	24	28	27	-3.6%	20	0	38	11
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	27	26	25	28	12.0%	17	0	28	11
Average # General Cases per Agent	120	122	124	126	1.6%	82	0	143	11
<b>Garrett</b>	<b>Feb-09</b>	<b>Mar-09</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	148	153	156	157	0.6%	151	153	159	11
Parole	15	17	17	17	0.0%	16	14	20	11
Mandatory	14	15	14	15	7.1%	14	11	17	11
Probation	113	114	119	120	0.8%	114	109	120	11
PBJ	4	4	3	3	0.0%	4	3	7	11
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	3	3	2	1	-50.0%	2	0	4	11
Sex Offender Cases	22	24	24	26	8.3%	16	0	26	11
General Cases	123	126	130	130	0.0%	80	0	130	11
# of Supervision Agents	3	3	3	3	0.0%	2	0	3	11
# of VPI Caseloads	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	1	1	1	1	0.0%	1	0	1	11
# of General Caseloads	2	2	2	2	0.0%	1	0	2	11
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	22	24	24	26	8.3%	15	0	26	11
Average # General Cases per Agent	62	63	65	65	0.0%	40	0	65	11

<b>Harford</b>	<b>Feb-09</b>	<b>Mar-09</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	2,363	2,343	2,346	2,355	0.4%	2,380	2,343	2,422	11
Parole	142	149	144	160	11.1%	145	136	160	11
Mandatory	131	130	129	134	3.9%	135	129	146	11
Probation	1,684	1,658	1,681	1,664	-1.0%	1,679	1,649	1,703	11
PBJ	382	383	369	372	0.8%	399	369	427	11
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	48	44	38	40	5.3%	34	0	53	11
Sex Offender Cases	91	97	96	98	2.1%	68	0	98	11
General Cases	2,224	2,292	2,212	2,217	0.2%	1,422	0	2,278	11
# of Supervision Agents	24	24	24	24	0.0%	15	0	25	11
# of VPI Caseloads	1	1	1	1	0.0%	1	0	1	11
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	3	3	3	3	0.0%	2	0	3	11
# of General Caseloads	20	20	20	20	0.0%	13	0	21	11
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	34	31	25	26	4.0%	20	0	34	11
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	30	32	32	33	3.1%	20	0	33	11
Average # General Cases per Agent	111	115	111	111	0.0%	70	0	114	11
<b>Howard</b>	<b>Feb-09</b>	<b>Mar-09</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	934	925	949	966	1.8%	968	925	1,030	11
Parole	36	37	37	39	5.4%	43	36	49	11
Mandatory	48	49	49	50	2.0%	46	36	50	11
Probation	625	618	643	641	-0.3%	645	618	680	11
PBJ	211	206	207	223	7.7%	219	206	236	11
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	24	24	23	23	0.0%	18	0	26	11
Sex Offender Cases	53	53	54	53	-1.9%	39	0	57	11
General Cases	857	848	872	890	2.1%	560	0	899	11
# of Supervision Agents	11	11	11	11	0.0%	7	0	11	11
# of VPI Caseloads	1	1	1	1	0.0%	1	0	1	11
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	2	2	2	2	0.0%	1	0	2	11
# of General Caseloads	8	8	8	8	0.0%	5	0	8	11
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	24	24	23	23	0.0%	15	0	24	11
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	27	27	27	27	0.0%	17	0	27	11
Average # General Cases per Agent	107	106	109	111	1.8%	70	0	112	11
<b>Kent</b>	<b>Feb-09</b>	<b>Mar-09</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	219	221	227	235	3.5%	222	215	235	11
Parole	33	32	33	40	21.2%	33	30	40	11
Mandatory	16	15	12	12	0.0%	16	12	18	11
Probation	140	143	146	146	0.0%	143	137	147	11
PBJ	30	31	36	37	2.8%	30	24	37	11
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	8	12	9	12	33.3%	7	0	12	11
Sex Offender Cases	5	5	4	4	0.0%	3	0	5	11
General Cases	206	204	214	219	2.3%	134	0	219	11
# of Supervision Agents	3	3	3	3	0.0%	2	0	3	11
# of VPI Caseloads	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
# of General Caseloads	3	3	3	3	0.0%	2	0	3	11
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Average # General Cases per Agent	69	68	71	73	2.8%	52	0	110	11

<b>Montgomery</b>	<b>Feb-09</b>	<b>Mar-09</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	3,838	3,974	3,950	4,006	1.4%	3,857	3,609	4,053	11
Parole	100	107	114	121	6.1%	107	100	121	11
Mandatory	114	110	115	115	0.0%	116	110	126	11
Probation	3,140	3,248	3,219	3,262	1.3%	3,157	2,964	3,316	11
PBJ	481	505	500	506	1.2%	483	406	593	11
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	58	59	59	58	-1.7%	47	0	75	11
Sex Offender Cases	203	203	200	203	1.5%	142	0	203	11
General Cases	3,577	3,712	3,691	3,745	1.5%	2,355	0	3,798	11
# of Supervision Agents	34	33	34	35	2.9%	21	0	35	11
# of VPI Caseloads	3	2	2	2	0.0%	1	0	3	11
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	7	7	7	7	0.0%	4	0	7	11
# of General Caseloads	24	24	25	26	4.0%	16	0	26	11
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	19	30	30	29	-3.3%	12	0	30	11
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	29	29	29	29	0.0%	18	0	30	11
Average # General Cases per Agent	149	155	148	144	-2.7%	95	0	158	11
<b>Prince George's</b>	<b>Feb-09</b>	<b>Mar-09</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	5,565	5,610	5,621	5,698	1.4%	5,748	5,565	5,913	11
Parole	404	401	393	394	0.3%	411	393	425	11
Mandatory	490	479	484	469	-3.1%	488	469	509	11
Probation	4,323	4,362	4,382	4,464	1.9%	4,475	4,323	4,608	11
PBJ	341	362	356	364	2.2%	368	341	389	11
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	187	192	195	193	-1.0%	147	0	271	11
Sex Offender Cases	278	273	279	289	3.6%	202	0	293	11
General Cases	5,100	5,145	5,147	5,216	1.3%	3,347	0	5,442	11
# of Supervision Agents	53	51	50	50	0.0%	33	0	55	11
# of VPI Caseloads	7	7	6	6	0.0%	4	0	7	11
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	10	10	10	10	0.0%	6	0	10	11
# of General Caseloads	36	34	34	34	0.0%	23	0	38	11
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	27	27	33	32	-3.0%	18	0	33	11
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	28	27	28	29	3.6%	18	0	31	11
Average # General Cases per Agent	142	151	151	153	1.3%	94	0	153	11
<b>Queen Anne's</b>	<b>Feb-09</b>	<b>Mar-09</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	404	394	385	383	-0.5%	417	383	443	11
Parole	49	42	41	41	0.0%	44	36	50	11
Mandatory	21	22	19	20	5.3%	22	19	27	11
Probation	261	259	261	258	-1.1%	278	258	300	11
PBJ	71	71	64	64	0.0%	72	64	77	11
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	3	3	4	3	-25.0%	3	0	7	11
Sex Offender Cases	14	15	15	15	0.0%	11	0	16	11
General Cases	387	376	366	365	-0.3%	249	0	423	11
# of Supervision Agents	5	5	5	5	0.0%	3	0	5	11
# of VPI Caseloads	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
# of General Caseloads	5	5	5	5	0.0%	3	0	5	11
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Average # General Cases per Agent	77	75	71	73	2.8%	50	0	89	11

<b>St. Mary's</b>	<b>Feb-09</b>	<b>Mar-09</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	470	462	447	428	-4.3%	482	428	639	11
Parole	56	53	53	46	-13.2%	53	44	66	11
Mandatory	44	41	43	47	9.3%	47	38	65	11
Probation	355	355	334	318	-4.8%	363	318	476	11
PBJ	10	8	10	10	0.0%	12	8	19	11
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	14	12	14	14	0.0%	9	0	15	11
Sex Offender Cases	60	62	55	59	7.3%	44	0	65	11
General Cases	396	388	378	355	-6.1%	246	0	403	11
# of Supervision Agents	6	6	6	6	0.0%	4	0	7	11
# of VPI Caseloads	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	2	2	2	2	0.0%	1	0	3	11
# of General Caseloads	4	4	4	4	0.0%	3	0	4	11
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	30	31	28	30	7.1%	18	0	33	11
Average # General Cases per Agent	99	97	95	89	-6.3%	62	0	104	11
<b>Somerset</b>	<b>Feb-09</b>	<b>Mar-09</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>May-09*</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	249	258	258	260	0.8%	247	233	260	11
Parole	64	65	63	65	3.2%	61	54	65	11
Mandatory	39	39	34	32	-5.9%	38	32	41	11
Probation	122	130	129	131	1.6%	125	116	131	11
PBJ	23	23	31	30	-3.2%	23	19	31	11
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	9	12	11	10	-9.1%	7	0	12	11
Sex Offender Cases	14	14	14	13	-7.1%	10	0	14	11
General Cases	226	232	233	250	7.3%	146	0	250	11
# of Supervision Agents	3	3	3	3	0.0%	2	0	3	11
# of VPI Caseloads	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
# of General Caseloads	3	3	3	3	0.0%	2	0	3	11
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Average # General Cases per Agent	75	77	78	83	6.4%	50	0	83	11
<b>Talbot</b>	<b>Feb-09</b>	<b>Mar-09</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	418	416	401	398	-0.7%	417	393	446	11
Parole	44	39	42	38	-9.5%	40	36	44	11
Mandatory	36	40	36	34	-5.6%	38	34	40	11
Probation	294	295	289	285	-1.4%	295	273	317	11
PBJ	44	42	34	41	20.6%	44	34	49	11
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	9	10	12	11	-8.3%	7	0	12	11
Sex Offender Cases	25	25	22	21	-4.5%	17	0	25	11
General Cases	384	381	367	366	-0.3%	248	0	413	11
# of Supervision Agents	5	5	5	5	0.0%	3	0	5	11
# of VPI Caseloads	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
# of General Caseloads	5	5	5	5	0.0%	3	0	5	11
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Average # General Cases per Agent	77	76	73	73	0.0%	51	0	89	11

<b>Washington</b>	<b>Feb-09</b>	<b>Mar-09</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	1,808	1,822	1,774	1,745	-1.6%	1,834	1,388	1,388	11
Parole	260	256	246	250	1.6%	251	199	266	11
Mandatory	183	186	182	180	-1.1%	176	166	186	11
Probation	1,079	1,061	1,033	1,016	-1.6%	1,080	756	1,200	11
PBJ	273	303	292	278	-4.8%	309	250	355	11
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	31	30	31	36	16.1%	23	0	36	11
Sex Offender Cases	74	73	71	69	-2.8%	52	0	74	11
General Cases	1,703	1,719	1,672	1,640	-1.9%	1,110	0	1,874	11
# of Supervision Agents	12	12	12	12	0.0%	8	0	12	11
# of VPI Caseloads	1	1	1	1	0.0%	1	0	1	11
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	2	2	2	2	0.0%	1	0	2	11
# of General Caseloads	9	9	9	9	0.0%	6	0	9	11
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	31	30	31	36	16.1%	21	0	36	11
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	37	37	36	35	-2.8%	23	0	37	11
Average # General Cases per Agent	189	191	186	182	-2.2%	123	0	208	11
<b>Wicomico</b>	<b>Feb-09</b>	<b>Mar-09</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>May-09*</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	1,130	1,162	1,159	1,133	-2.2%	1,160	1,130	1,185	11
Parole	194	199	196	196	0.0%	196	191	201	11
Mandatory	172	165	159	155	-2.5%	166	155	173	11
Probation	626	658	667	648	-2.8%	666	626	702	11
PBJ	137	139	136	133	-2.2%	132	121	139	11
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	48	49	47	43	-8.5%	37	0	55	11
Sex Offender Cases	79	81	79	91	15.2%	57	0	91	11
General Cases	1,003	1,032	1,033	1,012	-2.0%	656	0	1,051	11
# of Supervision Agents	17	18	18	18	0.0%	11	0	18	11
# of VPI Caseloads	2	2	2	2	0.0%	1	0	2	11
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	2	2	2	3	50.0%	1	0	3	11
# of General Caseloads	13	14	14	13	-7.1%	8	0	14	11
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	24	25	24	22	-8.3%	16	0	28	11
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	40	41	40	30	-25.0%	24	0	41	11
Average # General Cases per Agent	77	74	74	78	5.4%	49	0	81	11
<b>Worcester</b>	<b>Feb-09</b>	<b>Mar-09</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Total Supervised	1,166	1,149	1,165	1,166	0.1%	1,197	1,149	1,250	11
Parole	116	113	117	120	2.6%	119	113	124	11
Mandatory	68	64	63	63	0.0%	66	63	70	11
Probation	761	742	752	745	-0.9%	784	742	822	11
PBJ	219	229	232	237	2.2%	225	213	241	11
<b>Level of Supervision</b>									
VPI Cases	9	6	6	7	16.7%	6	0	13	11
Sex Offender Cases	34	31	28	30	7.1%	22	0	34	11
General Cases	1,123	1,112	1,131	1,129	-0.2%	731	0	1,209	11
# of Supervision Agents	7	7	7	7	0.0%	4	0	7	11
# of VPI Caseloads	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
# of Sex Offender Caseloads	1	1	1	1	0.0%	1	0	1	11
# of General Caseloads	6	6	6	6	0.0%	4	0	6	11
Average # VPI Cases per Agent	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Average # Sex Offender Cases per Agent	34	31	28	30	7.1%	20	0	34	11
Average # General Cases per Agent	187	185	189	188	-0.5%	125	0	233	11

\*There are 13 Princess Anne sex offender cases assigned to an agent in Salisbury. The 13 cases are displayed in the Somerset County data only to show how many sex offenders are in this county. The agent and these 13 cases are counted in the Wicomico County data.



STATESTAT  
DPPCS: DPP: INTELLIGENCE  
REPORTING PERIOD: MAY 2009

DPP INTELLIGENCE									
(Baltimore City)	MONTHLY REPORTING PERIODS					FY2009 YEAR TO DATE			
	Feb-09	Mar-09	Apr-09	May-09	% Change	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Periods
Homicide Victims Total	15	16	21	20	-4.8%	21	15	31	11
<b>Homicide Victims DPP</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>11</b>
Homicide Suspects Total	9	14	9	12	33.3%	9	4	16	11
<b>Homicide Suspects DPP</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>-20.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>11</b>
NFS Suspects Total	12	22	19	12	-36.8%	18	7	32	11
<b>NFS Suspects DPP</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>-57.1%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>11</b>
NFS Victims Total	21	36	36	28	-22.2%	45	19	68	11
<b>NFS Victims DPP</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>-36.4%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>WC Suspects DPP</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>-4.8%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>11</b>
DPP INTELLIGENCE									
(Baltimore County)	MONTHLY REPORTING PERIODS					FY2009 YEAR TO DATE			
	Feb-09	Mar-09	Apr-09	May-09	% Change	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Periods
Homicide Victims Total	3	4	3	2	-33.3%	2	0	6	11
<b>Homicide Victims DPP</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>na</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>11</b>
Homicide Suspects Total	2	3	1	2	100.0%	2	0	7	11
<b>Homicide Suspects DPP</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>na</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>11</b>
NFS Suspects Total	5	1	6	3	-50.0%	2	0	6	11
<b>NFS Suspects DPP</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-100.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>11</b>
NFS Victims Total	3	0	4	5	25.0%	2	0	6	11
<b>NFS Victims DPP</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>na</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>WC Suspects DPP</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>36.4%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>11</b>
DPP INTELLIGENCE									
(Prince George's County)	MONTHLY REPORTING PERIODS					FY2009 YEAR TO DATE			
	Feb-09	Mar-09	Apr-09	May-09	% Change	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Periods
Homicide Victims Total	11	11	5	6	20.0%	7	0	16	11
<b>Homicide Victims DPP</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>na</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>11</b>
Homicide Suspects Total	4	10	6	2	-66.7%	4	0	10	11
<b>Homicide Suspects DPP</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>na</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>11</b>
NFS Suspects Total	0	1	8	9	12.5%	0	0	0	8
<b>NFS Suspects DPP</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>11</b>
NFS Victims Total	0	18	12	15	25.0%	0	0	0	8
<b>NFS Victims DPP</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>na</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>WC Suspects DPP</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>-4.5%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>11</b>
*- from available data									
Jurisdictions Summary (Balto City, Baltimore, PG)	Feb-09	Mar-09	Apr-09	May-09	% Change	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Periods
Homicide Victims Total	29	31	29	28	-3.4%	30	16	53	11
<b>Total Homicide Victims DPP</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>166.7%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>11</b>
DPP HOMICIDE SUSPECT AND VICTIMS									
Statewide	MONTHLY REPORTING PERIODS					FY2009 YEAR TO DATE			
	Feb-09	Mar-09	Apr-09	May-09	% Change	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Periods
Homicide Victims Total	32	31	36	31	-13.9%	30	0	51	11
<b>DPP Homicide Victims</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>11</b>
VPI	2	3	1	3	200.0%	2	0	3	11
Non-VPI	6	10	3	5	66.7%	7	3	10	5
DPP HOMICIDE SUSPECT AND VICTIMS									
Statewide	MONTHLY REPORTING PERIODS					FY2009 YEAR TO DATE			
	Feb-09	Mar-09	Apr-09	May-09	% Change	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Periods
<b>DPP Homicide Suspects</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>-14.3%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>
VPI	1	1	2	1	-50.0%	2	0	6	11
Non-VPI	3	5	5	5	0.0%	4	3	5	5

**Volume 4, Number 1**



**STATESTAT  
DPPCS: DPP: VPI REPORTS  
REPORTING PERIOD: MAY 2009**

<b>VPI REPORTS</b>									
<b>VPI</b>	<b>MONTHLY REPORTING PERIODS</b>					<b>FY2009 YEAR TO DATE</b>			
	<b>Feb-09</b>	<b>Mar-09</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
<b>Statewide Total ACT Cases</b>	<b>2130</b>	<b>2156</b>	<b>2164</b>	<b>2147</b>	-0.8%	2,156	1,504	2,369	11
Total Warrants Issued	283	199	158	204	29.1%	193	125	283	11
Total Technical Violation	198	95	81	122	50.6%	92	53	198	11
Total New Offense	85	104	77	82	6.5%	101	72	122	11
<b>VPI Victimization</b>	<b>Feb-09</b>	<b>Mar-09</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Periods</b>
Homicide Victims	2	3	1	3	200.0%	2	0	3	11
NFS Victims*	2	2	0	4	#DIV/0!	2	0	5	11

\* NFS data for VPI is only available for Baltimore City, Baltimore County and Prince George's County



**STATESTAT**  
**DPSCS: DIVISION OF PAROLE AND PROBATION: PERSONNEL**  
**REPORTING PERIOD: MAY 2009**

						FY YEAR TO DATE				
Staffing Needs	Feb-09	Mar-09	Apr-09	May-09	% Change	Average	Minimum	Maximum		Periods
Total PINs Filled	1210	1204	1205	1196	-0.7%	1215	1196	1240		11
Total Contractual Positions Filled	121.4	124.4	121.4	121.4	0.0%	116	106	124		11
Total Contractual Vacancies	8	5	8	8	0.0%	14	5	24		11
						CY YEAR TO DATE				
	Feb-09	Mar-09	Apr-09	May-09	% Change	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Total	Periods
IWIF REPORTS										
FROI to IWIF	4	2	3	6	100.0%	3.6	2	6	18	5
Claims reported in > 3 days	0	0	0	1	n/a	0.2	0	1	1	5
Employees with >3 claims/year	0	0	0	0	n/a	0.0	0	0	0	5
LEAVE										
	CY 06	CY 07	CY 08	CY09YTD						
Sick leave (hours)	116,145	98,055	100,719	35,785.83						
LEAVE										
	FY 06	FY 07	FY 08	FY 09 YTD						
Accident leave (hours)	1,996	2,203	1,400	1,976						



**STATESTAT**  
**DPCS: DIVISION OF PAROLE AND PROBATION - VPI CONTACTS**  
**REPORTING PERIOD: MAY 2009**

# of VPI Cases, # of Agents, VPI Caseload Ratio, # of Contacts and Contact Average by jurisdiction and by month																			
		May-09									Apr-09								
Region	Jurisdiction	# of Dedicated Agents	Total # VPI Cases	CASES				AVERAGES			# of Dedicated Agents	Total # VPI Cases	CASES				AVERAGES		
				# VP1 Caseloads	# VP1 Contacts	# VP2 Cases	# VP2 Contacts	VPI Caseload	VPI Contacts	VP2 Contacts			# VP1 Caseloads	# VP1 Contacts	# VP2 Cases	# VP2 Contacts	VPI Caseload	VPI Contacts	VP2 Contacts
H. Alexander	Allegany		7	4	60	3	17		3.8	1.4		6	3	38	3	38		3.2	3.2
M. Kumer	Anne Arundel	5	132	105	1,399	27	192	26	3.3	1.8	5	143	126	1,610	17	171	29	3.2	2.5
B. Brown	Baltimore																		
B. Brown	Baltimore City	44	1,423	1,093	11,825	330	950	32	2.7	0.7	44	1,429	1,128	14,105	301	886	32	3.1	0.7
M. Kumer	Calvert		19	12	138	7	28		2.9	1.0		18	10	113	8	40		2.8	1.3
P. Vale	Caroline		9	6	94	3	32		3.9	2.7		8	7	173	1	36		6.2	9.0
H. Alexander	Carroll		9	3	38	6	26		3.2	1.1		11	3	47	8	67		3.9	2.1
P. Vale	Cecil		10	8	118	2	26		3.7	3.3		10	8	127	2	0		4.0	0.0
M. Kumer	Charles	2	39	35	538	4	21	20	3.8	1.3	2	41	37	654	4	0	21	4.4	0.0
P. Vale	Dorchester		21	20	291	1	5		3.6	1.3		19	18	323	1	14		4.5	3.5
H. Alexander	Frederick	1	27	11	129	16	66	27	2.9	1.0	1	28	9	237	19	99	28	6.6	1.3
H. Alexander	Garrett		1	0	0	1	6		0.0	1.5		2	1	13	1	11		3.3	2.8
P. Vale	Harford	1	40	21	242	19	103	26	2.9	1.4	1	38	19	288	19	117	25	3.8	1.5
H. Alexander	Howard	1	23	17	241	6	35	23	3.5	1.5	1	23	15	220	8	97	23	3.7	3.0
Patricia Vale	Kent		12	8	81	4	18		2.5	1.1		9	5	58	4	17		2.9	1.1
M. Kumer	Montgomery	2	58	40	566	18	113	29	3.5	1.6	2	59	39	492	20	167	30	3.2	2.1
M. Kumer	Prince George's	6	193	131	1,647	62	373	32	3.1	1.5	6	195	149	1,952	46	390	33	3.3	2.1
P. Vale	Queen Anne's		3	1	11	2	22		2.8	2.8		4	4	59	0	0		3.7	n/a
P. Vale	Somerset		10	7	125	3	14		4.5	1.2		11	8	154	3	15		4.8	1.3
M. Kumer	St. Mary's		14	8	96	6	46		3.0	1.9		14	9	152	5	48		4.2	2.4
P. Vale	Talbot		11	6	127	5	16		5.3	0.8		12	9	97	3	47		2.7	3.9
H. Alexander	Washington	1	36	20	342	16	118	36	4.3	1.8	1	31	15	260	16	157	31	4.3	2.5
P. Vale	Wicomico	2	43	14	194	29	158	22	3.5	1.4	2	47	13	449	34	117	24	8.6	0.9
P. Vale	Worcester		7	3	24	4	27		2.0	1.7		6	2	31	4	33		3.9	2.1
	<b>Total</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>2,147</b>	<b>1,573</b>	<b>18,326</b>	<b>574</b>	<b>2,412</b>		<b>2.9</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>2,164</b>	<b>1,637</b>	<b>21,652</b>	<b>527</b>	<b>2,567</b>		<b>3.3</b>	<b>1.2</b>

Footnotes:

<sup>1</sup> On March 24, 2009, General Order 07-005 was revised and reissued to include VP2 level of supervision. This is the only VP2 data available. The template had to change to accommodate the level of supervision.



**STATESTAT**  
**DPCS: DIVISION OF PAROLE AND PROBATION: CONTAINMENT MODEL ARRESTS**  
**REPORTING PERIOD: MAY 2009**

VPI	Feb-09	Mar-09	Apr-09	May-09		FY2009 YEAR TO DATE					
				VP1	VP2	% Change	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Periods	
Arrested for a New Offense											
Arson	0	2	0	0	0	na	0	0	2	10	
Assault	23	23	34	30	3	-2.9%	22	3	34	12	
Att. Murder	5	4	4	3	0	-25.0%	4	2	6	11	
Burglary	9	10	3	1	1	-33.3%	5	1	10	12	
Carjacking	0	0	0	0	0	na	0	0	1	10	
Drugs	98	101	86	64	9	-15.1%	74	9	104	12	
DWI	0	0	0	0	0	na	0	0	0	10	
Homicide	1	1	1	1	0	0.0%	2	0	6	11	
Manslaughter	0	0	0	0	0	na	0	0	0	10	
MVA	0	0	0	0	0	na	0	0	0	10	
Other	22	27	21	30	3	57.1%	20	3	31	12	
Robbery	0	7	4	7	1	100.0%	5	0	9	12	
Sex Offense	1	1	2	0	0	-100.0%	1	0	2	10	
Theft	6	7	3	9	2	266.7%	6	2	9	12	
Weapons	8	6	10	4	2	-40.0%	7	2	14	12	
<b>Total</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>12</b>	

Sex Offender	Feb-09	Mar-09	Apr-09	May-09	FY2009 YEAR TO DATE				
					% Change	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Periods
Arson	0	0	0	0	na	0	0	1	10
Assault	4	10	7	15	114.3%	7	3	15	12
Att. Murder	0	0	1	0	-100.0%	0	0	1	10
Burglary	0	2	2	2	0.0%	1	0	3	11
Carjacking	0	0	0	0	na	0	0	0	10
Drugs	5	7	10	12	20.0%	7	1	15	12
DWI	0	0	0	0	na	0	0	0	10
Homicide	0	0	1	0	-100.0%	0	0	1	10
Manslaughter	0	0	0	0	na	0	0	0	10
MVA	0	0	0	0	na	2	0	5	10
Other	4	11	7	11	57.1%	5	0	11	11
Robbery	0	1	0	0	na	1	0	2	10
Sex Offender Registry Violation	10	9	7	11	57.1%	10	2	17	12
Sex Offense	3	3	3	5	66.7%	4	1	8	11
Theft	0	1	5	5	0.0%	3	0	5	11
Weapons	1	1	2	1	-50.0%	1	0	2	11
<b>Total</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>37.8%</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>12</b>



**STATESTAT**  
**DPPCS: DPP - (CUMULATIVE) OPEN PROBATION WARRANTS**  
**REPORTING PERIOD: APRIL 2009**

CUMULATIVE								
Open PROBATION Warrants by Jurisdiction								
4/31/2009 <sup>1</sup>					3/31/2009 <sup>1</sup>			
Jurisdiction	PRO	Served	%	Remain Open	PRO	Served	%	Remain Open
Allegany	3	0	0%	3	3	0	0%	3
Anne Arundel	49	18	37%	31	50	24	48%	26
Baltimore City	411	143	35%	268	494	252	51%	242
Baltimore*	21	7	33%	14	23	11	48%	12
Calvert	5	0	0%	5	6	3	50%	3
Caroline	3	2	67%	1	1	0	0%	1
Carroll	4	2	50%	2	2	0	0%	2
Cecil	9	6	67%	3	7	3	43%	4
Charles	14	5	36%	9	12	6	50%	6
Dorchester	9	4	44%	5	8	1	13%	7
Frederick	5	0	0%	5	7	3	43%	4
Garrett	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	N/A	0
Harford	3	2	67%	1	6	4	67%	2
Howard	2	0	0%	2	7	6	86%	1
Kent	1	0	0%	1	1	1	100%	0
Montgomery	22	3	14%	19	32	14	44%	18
Prince George's	94	24	26%	70	92	48	52%	44
Queen Anne's	1	0	0%	1	0	0	N/A	0
Saint Mary's	4	0	0%	4	4	2	50%	2
Somerset	1	0	0%	1	1	0	0%	1
Talbot	0	0	N/A	0	2	2	100%	0
Washington	7	1	14%	6	7	4	57%	3
Wicomico	15	5	33%	10	17	8	47%	9
Worchester	1	1	100%	0	3	2	67%	1
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>684</b>	<b>223</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>461</b>	<b>785</b>	<b>394</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>391</b>

\*Baltimore County VPI figures are in with Baltimore City.

<sup>1</sup> Data Synchronization for Baltimore City.



STATESTAT  
 DPSCS: DPP - OPEN VPI PROBATION WARRANTS  
 REPORTING PERIOD: APRIL 2009

Open VPI PROBATION Warrants by Jurisdiction									
4/31/2009*					3/31/2009*				
Jurisdiction	PRO	Served	% Closed	Remain Open	Jurisdiction	PRO	Served	% Closed	Remain Open
Allegany	0	0	N/A	0	Allegany	0	0	N/A	0
Anne Arundel	28	10	36%	18	Anne Arundel	29	11	38%	18
Baltimore City*	152	68	45%	84	Baltimore City*	193	141	73%	52
Calvert	1	0	0%	1	Calvert	0	0	N/A	0
Caroline	3	2	67%	1	Caroline	1	0	0%	1
Carroll	1	1	100%	0	Carroll	1	0	0%	1
Cecil	7	5	71%	2	Cecil	6	3	50%	3
Charles	12	5	42%	7	Charles	9	4	44%	5
Dorchester	4	3	75%	1	Dorchester	3	1	33%	2
Frederick	1	0	0%	1	Frederick	2	2	100%	0
Garrett	0	0	N/A	0	Garrett	0	0	N/A	0
Harford	3	2	67%	1	Harford	3	2	67%	1
Howard	0	0	N/A	0	Howard	3	3	100%	0
Kent	0	0	N/A	0	Kent	1	1	100%	0
Montgomery*	13	1	8%	12	Montgomery*	20	10	50%	10
Prince George's*	32	11	34%	21	Prince George's*	43	29	67%	14
Queen Anne's	0	0	N/A	0	Queen Anne's	0	0	N/A	0
Saint Mary's	3	0	0%	3	Saint Mary's	2	0	0%	2
Somerset	0	0	N/A	0	Somerset	0	0	N/A	0
Talbot	0	0	N/A	0	Talbot	0	0	N/A	0
Washington	6	1	17%	5	Washington	5	2	40%	3
Wicomico	6	2	33%	4	Wicomico	8	4	50%	4
Worcester	0	0	N/A	0	Worcester	0	0	N/A	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>272</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>329</b>	<b>213</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>116</b>

\*Baltimore County VPI figures are in with Baltimore City.



**STATESTAT**  
**DPPCS: DPP - OPEN NON VPI PROBATION WARRANTS**  
**REPORTING PERIOD: APRIL 2009**

Open NON-VPI PROBATION Warrants by Jurisdiction									
4/31/2009					3/31/2009				
Jurisdiction	PRO	Served	% Closed	Remain Open	Jurisdiction	PRO	Served	% Closed	Remain Open
Allegany	3	0	0%	3	Allegany	3	0	0%	3
Anne Arundel	21	8	38%	13	Anne Arundel	21	13	62%	8
Baltimore City*	259	75	29%	184	Baltimore City*	301	111	37%	190
Baltimore*	21	7	33%	14	Baltimore*	23	11	48%	12
Calvert	4	0	0%	4	Calvert	6	3	50%	3
Caroline	0	0	N/A	0	Caroline	0	0	N/A	0
Carroll	3	1	33%	2	Carroll	1	0	0%	1
Cecil	2	1	50%	1	Cecil	1	0	0%	1
Charles	2	0	0%	2	Charles	3	2	67%	1
Dorchester	5	1	20%	4	Dorchester	5	0	0%	5
Frederick	4	0	0%	4	Frederick	5	1	20%	4
Garrett	0	0	N/A	0	Garrett	0	0	N/A	0
Harford	0	0	N/A	0	Harford	3	2	67%	1
Howard	2	0	0%	2	Howard	4	3	75%	1
Kent	1	0	0%	1	Kent	0	0	N/A	0
Montgomery*	9	2	22%	7	Montgomery*	12	4	33%	8
Prince George's*	62	13	21%	49	Prince George's*	49	19	39%	30
Queen Anne's	1	0	0%	1	Queen Anne's	0	0	N/A	0
Saint Mary's	1	0	0%	1	Saint Mary's	2	2	100%	0
Somerset	1	0	0%	1	Somerset	1	0	0%	1
Talbot	0	0	N/A	0	Talbot	2	2	100%	0
Washington	1	0	0%	1	Washington	2	2	100%	0
Wicomico	9	3	33%	6	Wicomico	9	4	44%	5
Worcester	1	1	100%	0	Worcester	3	2	67%	1
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>412</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>456</b>	<b>181</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>275</b>

\*Beginning in October 2008 this chart does not include VPI cases



**STATESTAT**  
**DPPCS: DPP - CUMULATIVE OPEN PAROLE WARRANTS**  
**REPORTING PERIOD: MAY 2009**

Court Location	May-09			Apr-09		
	Warrants Issued	Warrants Cleared	Warrants Open	Warrants Issued	Warrants Cleared	Warrants Open
ALLEGANY	0	1		0	0	
ANNE ARUNDEL	2	1		2	2	
BALTIMORE CITY	36	37		27	39	
BALTIMORE COUNTY	2	9		6	6	
CALVERT	0	4		3	1	
CAROLINE	0	0		0	1	
CARROLL	1	2		0	1	
CECIL	0	1		0	1	
CHARLES	9	7		4	8	
DORCHESTER	0	1		0	1	
FREDRICK	4	4		6	6	
GARRETT	0	0		0	0	
HARFORD	3	4		3	6	
HOWARD	1	1		0	0	
KENT	0	0		0	0	
MONTGOMERY	0	1		1	0	
OUT OF STATE	0	0		0	0	
PRINCE GEORGE'S	4	5		3	5	
QUEEN ANNE	0	1		0	2	
SOMERSET	3	2		2	2	
ST.MARY	3	1		0	1	
TALBOT	1	0		0	2	
WASHINGTON	4	5		5	8	
WICOMICO	3	6		4	3	
WORCESTER	1	3		2	0	
<b>Total</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>0</b>



**STATESTAT**  
**DPCS: DPP - OPEN VPI PAROLE WARRANTS**  
**REPORTING PERIOD: MAY 2009**

Court Location	May-09			Apr-09		
	Warrants Issued	Warrants Cleared	Warrants Open	Warrants Issued	Warrants Cleared	Warrants Open
ALLEGANY	0	0		0	0	
ANNE ARUNDEL	0	0		1	1	
BALTIMORE CITY	11	10		9	17	
BALTIMORE COUNTY	1	2		3	1	
CALVERT	0	2		1	0	
CAROLINE	0	0		0	0	
CARROLL	1	1		0	0	
CECIL	0	1		0	0	
CHARLES	4	2		2	1	
DORCHESTER	0	0		0	0	
FREDRICK	2	1		0	0	
GARRETT	0	0		0	0	
HARFORD	0	2		2	2	
HOWARD	1	1		0	0	
KENT	0	0		0	0	
MONTGOMERY	0	0		0	0	
PRINCE GEORGE'S	2	2		1	3	
QUEEN ANNE	0	0		0	0	
SOMERSET	0	1		1	2	
ST.MARY	0	0		0	1	
TALBOT	1	0		0	0	
WASHINGTON	1	1		0	0	
WICOMICO	2	2		2	2	
WORCESTER	0	0		0	0	
<b>Total</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>0</b>



**STATESTAT**  
**DPCS: DPP - OPEN NON-VPI PAROLE WARRANTS**  
**REPORTING PERIOD: MAY 2009**

Court Location	May-09			Apr-09		
	Warrants Issued	Warrants Cleared	Warrants Open	Warrants Issued	Warrants Cleared	Warrants Open
ALLEGANY	0	1		0	0	
ANNE ARUNDEL	2	1		1	1	
BALTIMORE CITY	25	27		18	22	
BALTIMORE COUNTY	1	7		3	5	
CALVERT	0	2		2	1	
CAROLINE	0	0		0	1	
CARROLL	0	1		0	1	
CECIL	0	0		0	1	
CHARLES	5	5		2	7	
DORCHESTER	0	1		0	1	
FREDRICK	2	3		6	6	
GARRETT	0	0		0	0	
HARFORD	3	2		1	4	
HOWARD	0	0		0	0	
KENT	0	0		0	0	
MONTGOMERY	0	1		1	0	
OUT OF STATE	0	0		0	0	
PRINCE GEORGE'S	2	3		2	2	
QUEEN ANNE	0	1		0	2	
SOMERSET	3	1		1	0	
ST.MARY	3	1		0	0	
TALBOT	0	0		0	2	
WASHINGTON	3	4		5	8	
WICOMICO	1	4		2	1	
WORCESTER	1	3		2	0	
<b>Total</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>0</b>



**STATESTAT**  
**DPPCS: DPP - CUMULATIVE OPEN MANDATORY WARRANTS**  
**REPORTING PERIOD: MAY 2009**

Court Location	May-09			Apr-09		
	Warrants Issued	Warrants Cleared	Warrants Open	Warrants Issued	Warrants Cleared	Warrants Open
ALLEGANY	4	3		1	2	
ANNE ARUNDEL	5	9		3	12	
BALTIMORE CITY	92	90		61	76	
BALTIMORE COUNTY	14	21		15	19	
CALVERT	1	2		1	4	
CAROLINE	1	1		0	1	
CARROLL	1	1		1	0	
CECIL	1	1		1	4	
CHARLES	1	3		3	7	
DORCHESTER	0	0		0	0	
FREDRICK	0	2		0	4	
GARRETT	0	1		1	0	
HARFORD	5	7		6	6	
HOWARD	0	1		0	1	
KENT	0	2		3	2	
MONTGOMERY	2	1		3	6	
PRINCE GEORGE	9	15		9	15	
QUEEN ANNE	1	1		0	2	
SOMERSET	1	1		0	1	
ST.MARY	1	1		0	1	
TALBOT	0	1		0	2	
WASHINGTON	2	3		4	3	
WICOMICO	1	1		5	4	
WORCESTER	0	1		1	0	
<b>Total</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>169</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>0</b>



**STATESTAT**  
**DPSCS: DPP - OPEN VPI MANDATORY WARRANTS**  
**REPORTING PERIOD: MAY 2009**

Court Location	May-09			Apr-09		
	Warrants Issued	Warrants Cleared	Warrants Open	Warrants Issued	Warrants Cleared	Warrants Open
ALLEGANY	1	0		0	1	
ANNE ARUNDEL	1	1		2	3	
BALTIMORE CITY	54	40		18	28	
BALTIMORE COUNTY	4	4		2	4	
CALVERT	0	0		0	1	
CAROLINE	0	0		0	0	
CARROLL	0	0		0	0	
CECIL	0	1		0	0	
CHARLES	0	1		2	4	
DORCHESTER	0	0		0	0	
FREDRICK	0	1		0	1	
GARRETT	0	0		0	0	
HARFORD	2	2		2	3	
HOWARD	0	0		0	0	
KENT	0	0		1	2	
MONTGOMERY	1	0		0	0	
PRINCE GEORGE	0	2		2	5	
QUEEN ANNE	0	0		0	0	
SOMERSET	0	0		0	0	
ST.MARY	0	0		0	1	
TALBOT	0	0		0	1	
WASHINGTON	0	0		0	0	
WICOMICO	0	0		0	1	
WORCESTER	0	0		0	0	
<b>Total</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>0</b>



**STATESTAT**  
**DPSCS: DPP - OPEN NON-VPI MANDATORY WARRANTS**  
**REPORTING PERIOD: MAY 2009**

Court Location	May-09			Apr-09		
	Warrants Issued	Warrants Cleared	Warrants Open	Warrants Issued	Warrants Cleared	Warrants Open
ALLEGANY	3	3		1	1	
ANNE ARUNDEL	4	8		1	9	
BALTIMORE CITY	38	50		43	48	
BALTIMORE COUNTY	10	17		13	15	
CALVERT	1	2		1	3	
CAROLINE	1	1		0	1	
CARROLL	1	1		1	0	
CECIL	1	0		1	4	
CHARLES	1	2		1	3	
DORCHESTER	0	0		0	0	
FREDRICK	0	1		0	3	
GARRETT	0	1		1	0	
HARFORD	3	5		4	3	
HOWARD	0	1		0	1	
KENT	0	2		2	0	
MONTGOMERY	1	1		3	6	
PRINCE GEORGE	9	13		7	10	
QUEEN ANNE	1	1		0	2	
SOMERSET	1	1		0	1	
ST.MARY	1	1		0	0	
TALBOT	0	1		0	1	
WASHINGTON	2	3		4	3	
WICOMICO	1	1		5	3	
WORCESTER	0	1		1	0	
<b>Total</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>0</b>



STATESTAT

DPSCS: DIVISION OF PAROLE AND PROBATION: MD OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN DC

REPORTING PERIOD: MAY 2009

Arrest Data - Date Range: 05/01/2009 - 05/31/2009																
D.C. Re-Arrest Data																
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA RE-ARREST CHARGE																
MARYLAND SUPERVISION CHARGE	Arson	Assault	Auto Theft	Burglary	CDS Violation	Court Process	DWI / DUI	Fraud	Homicide	MVA Citation	Public Order	Robbery	Sex Offense	Theft	Weapon Offense	Totals
Arson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Assault	0	2	0	2	2	4	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	14
Auto Theft	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
Burglary	0	0	1	0	1	3	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	8
CDS Violation	0	0	0	0	9	7	1	0	0	3	3	0	0	1	2	26
Court Process	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DWI / DUI	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	8
Fraud	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Homicide	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
MVA Citation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Public Order	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	4
Robbery	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	10
Sex Offense	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4
Theft	0	3	1	0	1	6	1	0	0	2	2	0	3	2	0	21
Weapon Offense	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	7
No Active Cases	0	8	0	0	13	11	2	0	1	9	9	0	2	4	1	60
<b>Totals</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>168</b>



STATESTAT

DPSCS: DIVISION OF PAROLE AND PROBATION: VIRGINIA REARRESTS

REPORTING PERIOD: MAY 2009

Arrest Data - Date Range: 05/01/2009 - 05/31/2009																
Virginia Re-Arrest Data																
MARYLAND SUPERVISION CHARGE	VIRGINIA RE-ARREST CHARGE															Totals
	Arson	Assault	Auto Theft	Burglary	CDS Violation	Court Process	DWI / DUI	Fraud	Homicide	MVA Citation	Public Order	Robbery	Sex Offense	Theft	Weapon Offense	
Arson																0
Assault					2	2										4
Auto Theft																0
Burglary						2	1				1					4
CDS Violation		1			4	1	1									7
Court Process																0
DWI / DUI					1	1					1					3
Fraud																0
Homicide																0
MVA Citation						1								2		3
Public Order						3					1					4
Robbery					2	1	1									4
Sex Offense																0
Theft						6	1							3		10
Weapon Offense																0
No Active Cases		2			2	11	3	2		1	3	1		4		29
<b>Totals</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>68</b>



STATESTAT

DPCS: DIVISION OF PAROLE AND PROBATION: DNA SUMMARY

REPORTING PERIOD: MAY 2009

JURISDICTION	REPORTING PERIODS					CALENDAR YEAR-TO-DATE				
	Feb-09	Mar-09	Apr-09	May-09	% Change	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Total	Periods
<b>Total Number of DNA Samples Collected</b>	381	83	72	70	-2.8%	183	70	70	913	5
Allegany				1		16	1	1	31	2
Anne Arundel						51	51	51	51	1
Baltimore City	118	65	69	69		68	21	21	342	5
Baltimore						5	5	5	5	1
Calvert						0	0	0	0	1
Caroline						16	16	16	16	1
Carroll						14	14	14	14	1
Cecil						1	1	1	1	1
Charles		1				1	0	0	1	2
Dorchester						0	0	0	0	1
Frederick						0	0	0	0	1
Garrett						4	4	4	4	1
Harford						4	4	4	4	1
Howard						1	1	1	1	1
Kent						115	115	115	115	1
Montgomery		3				19	3	3	37	2
Prince George's		12				6	0	0	12	2
Queen Anne's						8	8	8	8	1
St. Mary's						0	0	0	0	1
Somerset						0	0	0	0	1
Talbot						3	3	3	3	1
Washington						0	0	0	0	1
Wicomico						0	0	0	0	1
Worcester						0	0	0	0	1
Other	263	2	3							



**STATESTAT**  
**DPSCS: INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY & COMMUNICATION DIVISION**  
**ARREST OFFENSES QUALIFYING FOR DNA**  
**REPORTING PERIOD: JUNE 2009**

County	Apr-09		May-09		Jun-09	
	Arrest Offense Qualified for DNA Testing (DNA NOT ON FILE)	Arrest Offense Qualified for DNA Testing (DNA ON FILE)	Arrest Offense Qualified for DNA Testing (DNA NOT ON FILE)	Arrest Offense Qualified for DNA Testing (DNA ON FILE)	Arrest Offense Qualified for DNA Testing (DNA NOT ON FILE)	Arrest Offense Qualified for DNA Testing (DNA ON FILE)
Allegany County	14	2	17	2	15	3
Anne Arundel County	65	14	71	21	76	27
Baltimore City Central Booking	86	32	115	39	122	41
Baltimore County	114	62	127	47	133	49
Calvert County	7	3	10	2	4	2
Card Scan (Manual Submission)	35	33	33	34	44	60
Caroline County	1	0	2	0	3	1
Carroll County	15	9	16	7	11	9
Cecil County	2	0	4	0	6	0
Charles County	12	2	9	1	14	1
Dorchester County	4	4	4	2	7	2
Frederick County	8	0	10	4	13	1
Garrett County	0	1	7	2	1	1
Hartford County	9	1	13	2	12	2
Howard County	12	3	11	1	13	3
Kent County	1	0	2	0	1	0
MRDCC Ident	38	7	45	8	49	4
Maryland Correctional Institution for Women	135	26	149	36	170	44
Montgomery County	2	0	3	1	9	1
Prince George County	3	0	0	0	0	0
Queen Anne County	7	1	7	0	8	0
St. Mary's County	3	0	2	0	4	1
Washington County	6	6	6	3	10	4
Wicomico County	13	3	9	3	15	2
Worcester County	5	1	9	2	24	1
<b>Totals</b>	<b>597</b>	<b>210</b>	<b>681</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>764</b>	<b>259</b>
	<b>807</b>		<b>898</b>		<b>1023</b>	



**STATESTAT**  
**DPSCS: CRIMINAL INJURIES COMPENSATION BOARD**  
**REPORTING PERIOD: MAY 2009**

	Monthly Reporting				YTD FY09		Yearly Reporting Periods		
	Feb-09	Mar-09	Apr-09	May-09	AVG	% Change	FY06	FY07	FY08
New Claims Filed	144	144	127	121	136	-5%	1,582	1,585	1,549
Claims Reviewed - Deemed Incomplete	16	10	7	16	12	129%	63	85	97
Claims Reviewed & Subsequently Rejected	48	81	111	96	61	-14%			
Claims Awaiting Action (Pending)					#DIV/0!				
Number of Payments	330	217	208	275	214	32%	2,520	2,289	2,478
Number of Decisions	145	142	174	164	188	-6%	1,576	1,554	1,537
Number of Claims Awards Made	133	85	76	98	84	29%	824	739	757
	<b>Feb-09</b>	<b>Mar-09</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>AVG</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>FY06</b>	<b>FY07</b>	<b>FY08</b>
Average Number of Days to Process a Claim	176	141	140	149	157	-1%	192	175	143
% Claims Processed Within:	<b>Feb-09</b>	<b>Mar-09</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>AVG</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>FY06</b>	<b>FY07</b>	<b>FY08</b>
90 days	19%	32%	41%	38%	31%	28%	17%	22%	28%
120 days	25%	48%	51%	49%	44%	6%	29%	34%	34%
180 days	47%	70%	64%	69%	63%	-9%	54%	60%	67%
	<b>Feb-09</b>	<b>Mar-09</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>May-09</b>	<b>AVG</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>FY06</b>	<b>FY07</b>	<b>FY08</b>
Number of Claims Processed Within:									
90 days	27	47	71	60	38.5	51%	350	391	357
120 days	36	71	89	76	54	25%	563	604	557
180 days	67	103	112	108	76	9%	889	861	834